

EXHIBITS

A,B,C,D,E

EXHIBIT A

Westport Custodial Arrest Synopsis

03/02/2018 to 03/05/2018

CASE: 2017-022937
ARREST DATE: 03/05/2018
ARRESTED: **James Lawrence** AGE: 52 RESIDENCE: **Westport, CT**
CHARGE: Breach of Peace
BOND: \$5,000

On 11/05/2017, officers were dispatched to a local grocery store on a report of a suspicious person. The victim reported she was followed around the store by an unknown male who then followed her out to her car and stood by the door of her vehicle. The male was later identified as James Lawrence, 52, of Westport, CT. An arrest warrant application was submitted for approval and granted by a judge. On 03/05/2018, Lawrence turned himself on the active arrest warrant. He was charged with 53a-181 Breach of Peace and released after posting \$5,000 court set bond. Lawrence is scheduled to appear in Norwalk Court on 3/14/18.

CASE: [REDACTED]
ARREST DATE: [REDACTED]
ARRESTED: [REDACTED]
CHARGE: [REDACTED]
BOND: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

CASE: [REDACTED]
ARREST DATE: [REDACTED]
ARRESTED: [REDACTED]
CHARGE: [REDACTED]
BOND: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

INFORMATION

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT

W

Disposition date

JD-CR-71 Rev. 3-11

Police Case number
2017-022937

Agency name
WESTPORT POLICE DEPARTMENT

Agency number
158

Title, Allegation and Counts

Arrest Warrant

State of Connecticut vs. (Name of accused)
LAWRENCE, JAMES

Residence (Town) of accused
WESTPORT

Docket number

Address
75 WEST PARISH RD. WESTPORT, ct. 06880

Date of birth
12/15/1965

The undersigned Prosecuting Authority of the Superior Court of the State of Connecticut charges that:

To be held at (Town)
NORWALK

Geographical area number
20

Court date

Count One — Did commit the offense of:
BREACH OF PEACE 2ND

March 5, 2018

Continued to Purpose Reason

At (Town) On or about (Date)
WESTPORT NOV. 05, 2017

In violation of General Statute number
53A-181

Count Two — Did commit the offense of:
one count Second Degree Breach of Peace

At (Town) On or about (Date)

In violation of General Statute number

Count Three — Did commit the offense of:

At (Town) On or about (Date)

In violation of General Statute number

See other sheet for additional counts

Date
1-2-18

Signed (Prosecuting Authority)

Court Action

Defendant advised of rights before plea
(Judge) (Date)

Bond Surety
 10 % Election (Date)
 Cash CT JY

Attorney Public defender Guardian

Bond change Seized property inventory number

| Count | Plea date | Plea | Plea withdrawn | | Verdict finding | Fine | Remit | Additional disposition |
|-------|-----------|------|----------------|----------|-----------------|------|-------|------------------------|
| | | | Date | New plea | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | \$ | \$ | Officer Sullivan |
| 2 | | | | | | \$ | \$ | |
| 3 | | | | | | \$ | \$ | |

| Date | Other Court Action | Judge |
|------|--------------------|-------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Receipt number Cost
 IMP NCI Bond information
 Bond forfeited Forfeiture vacated Forfeiture vacated and bond reinstated

Application fee - receipt number if paid Circle one W I Q Program fee - receipt number if paid Circle one W I Q Probation fee - receipt number if paid Circle one W I Q

Prosecutor on original disposition Reporter/monitor on original disposition Signed (Clerk) Signed (Judge)

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64b Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**
www.jud.ct.gov

| | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| For Court Use Only | |
| Supporting Affidavits sealed | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Police Case number 2017-022937 | Agency name WESTPORT POLICE DEPARTMENT | Agency number 158 |
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) LAWRENCE, JAMES | Residence (Town) of accused WESTPORT | Court to be held at (Town) NORWALK |
| | | Geographical Area number 20 |

Application For Arrest Warrant

To: A Judge of the Superior Court

The undersigned hereby applies for a warrant for the arrest of the above-named accused on the basis of the facts set forth in the: Affidavit Below. Affidavit(s) Attached.

| | | |
|------------------------|--|---|
| Date 12-2-17 | Signed (Prosecuting authority) <i>[Signature]</i> | Type/print name of prosecuting authority Christina Malone |
|------------------------|--|---|

Affidavit

The undersigned affiant, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. That I, Officer James Sullivan, have been a police officer in the State of Connecticut since July 30, 1990. I am currently a regular member of the Westport Police Department and have been since March 07, 2016. I am currently assigned to the patrol division where my duties include the investigation of Breach of Peace complaints. At all times mentioned herein, this affiant was acting as a member of the Westport Police Department and the following facts and circumstances are stated from personal knowledge.

2. That on November 05, 2017, at approximately 6:18 p.m., I was dispatched to 605 Post Road East, the Fresh Market, for the report of a suspicious person. Dispatch advised that the complainant stated that a white male with short brown hair, approximately 6' tall, wearing a green fleece jacket and blue jeans had followed her around the inside of the store while she was shopping. That this person then followed her out to her car and just stood in front of her car staring at her. That she quickly got into her vehicle and locked the doors and when she looked again, this person was standing right next to her drivers door. She stated that she drove away to get away from this person and called the police.

3. That upon police arrival at the store, there was no one fitting that description found in the area. In speaking with the store manager, Anthony Castagnazzi, he stated that he did not see anyone fitting that description in the store and was unaware that anything had happened.

4. That while still inside the market speaking with Castagnazzi I also learned that this department has had other similar incidents involving an individual by the name of James Lawrence, dob 12/15/1965. When asked, Castagnazzi stated that the store did not have security cameras so there was no security video of this incident.

5. While on my way to police headquarters to try and make contact with James Lawrence, I was dispatched back to the Fresh Market to speak with Castagnazzi again and James Lawrence who

(This is page 1 of a 3 page Affidavit.)

| | |
|---|---|
| Date 12/23/17 | Signed (Affiant) <i>[Signature]</i> #4358 |
| Jurat Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 12/23/17 | Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) <i>[Signature]</i> 127 |

Finding

The foregoing Application for an arrest warrant, and affidavit(s) attached to said Application, having been submitted to and considered by the undersigned, the undersigned finds from said affidavit(s) that there is probable cause to believe that an offense has been committed and that the accused committed it and, therefore, that probable cause exists for the issuance of a warrant for the arrest of the above-named accused.

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Date and Signature Norwalk | Signed at (City or town) | On (Date) 01028 | Signed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) <i>[Signature]</i> | Name of Judge/Judge Trial Referee Judock |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**

www.jud.ct.gov

| | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) LAWRENCE, JAMES | Residence (Town) of accused WESTPORT | Court to be held at (Town) NORWALK | Geographical Area number 20 |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|

**Affidavit - Continued
was now also there.**

6. That upon returning with Lt. Gouveia and Sgt. Russo we met with James Lawrence and Castagnazzi inside the store. When asked, Lawrence stated that he had returned to the store to turn over a \$100.00 dollar bill he had found on the floor as he was walking out of the store. He stated that he walked over to the complainants car to see if the money belonged to her but when he approached her vehicle she drove away before he could say a word.

7. That Lawrence was questioned about following the complainant around the store prior to leaving and then standing in front of her vehicle staring at her. He stated that he did not do either. That he was just inside the store shopping as he always does. Lawrence was then asked why he waited so long before turning the money over to the store and why he left the store with the money instead of turning it in right away. Lawrence became very agitated and said that he did nothing wrong. Lawrence was advised that it was his behavior and the fact that he followed the complainant all the way to her car making her very nervous for her safety that the police were involved in this matter.

? 15 feet in front of store. Cars parked next to each other

8. That Castagnazzi did confirm that Lawrence did turn a \$100.00 dollar bill to him. He stated that the stores policy is to put the money in an envelope and store it in the store till someone claims it.

9. That this Affiant believes it is possible that Lawrence was still in the area when police initially arrived on scene and saw us arrive. That he then went home, obtained the \$100.00 dollar bill and returned to the store to provide himself with a cover. That Castagnazzi did state that Lawrence did not want to give his name or talk to the police. That he called the police and had to convince Lawrence to remain there till we arrived.

10. That during our conversation with Lawrence we would have to keep reminding him to lower his voice and stop swearing. He stated that he felt the police were harassing him. That he was arrested in a previous incident in this town (file #2017-022558) and he was able to get the charges cleared because he did not do anything wrong. In checking this file later, I learned that there is still a protective order in effect against Lawrence.

False - no arrests

11. That in checking this departments case history with Lawrence, I learned that there were 10 case incidents logged from 2002 till present. In all of these complaints Lawrence was seen following the complainants around a store or coffee shop and then following them out to their cars where he would either stare at them or get right into their personal space. In most of these cases, Lawrence was told that his actions scared the complainants to the point of them calling the police. He has even stated himself that he needed to rethink his approach with woman. That I also learned from these reports that there were a lot of other incidents with Lawrence that

superlatives

? Lies B.S.

(This is page 2 of a 3 page Affidavit.)

| | |
|---|---|
| Date 12-23-17 | Signed (Affiant) <i>[Signature]</i> #4358 |
| Jurat Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 12/23/17 | Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) <i>[Signature]</i> |
| Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) <i>[Signature]</i> | Date 1-2-18 |
| Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) <i>[Signature]</i> | Date 010218 |

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**

www.jud.ct.gov

| | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) LAWRENCE, JAMES | Residence (Town) of accused WESTPORT | Court to be held at (Town) NORWALK | Geographical Area number 20 |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|

Affidavit - Continued
were not reported to the police.

12. That on December 12, 2017 a Criminal History was performed for James Lawrence, dob 12/15/1965. It was learned that he does not have a Connecticut History but he did have an Arrest Record in the states of Florida and California. In Florida he had charges of Resisting Arrest and Fleeing/Eluding Police. In California he was charged with Petty Theft, Theft of Personal Property, Stalking, Inflicting Corporal Injury to Spouse and Battery of Spouse.

13. That in this case, the complainant would not provide a sworn statement for fear that Lawrence would be able to find out who she was and then be able to retaliate against her. I also learned that in all the 9 other cases that the complainants all felt the same way. That they all feared for their safety and because of this were reluctant to provide statements.

14. That based on the aforementioned facts and circumstances, this Affiant believes that probable cause does exist and respectfully request the issuance of this arrest warrant charging James Lawrence, dob 12/15/1965 of LKA West Parish Rd. Westport, CT. 06880 with Breach of Peace in the second degree in violation of C.G.S. 53a-181.

(This is page 3 of a 3 page Affidavit.)

| | |
|---|---|
| Date 12-23-17 | Signed (Affiant) <i>[Signature]</i> #4358 |
| Jurat Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 12/23/17 | Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) <i>[Signature]</i> |
| Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) <i>[Signature]</i> | Date 1-2-18 |
| Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) <i>[Signature]</i> | Date 010218 |

EXHIBIT B

See submitted
Disks of
Broadcasts

EXHIBIT C



[Log In](#) [Change Region](#)

[NEWS](#) [WEATHER](#) [SPORTS](#) [FEATURES](#) [NUMBERS & LINKS](#) [TRAFFIC](#) [FOOD & FUN](#)

Police: Westport man charged with stalking women

Posted: Mar 15, 2018 6:03 AM EDT
Updated: Mar 15, 2018 7:31 AM EDT

WESTPORT - Police say a Westport man is facing charges today for allegedly stalking several women at local grocery stores.

They say James Lawrence, 52, has a history of following women around local groceries stores and then out to their cars.

According to police, Lawrence has been involved in 10 different cases and was charged with breach of peace for an incident back in November after turning himself in last week. They say he has faced similar charges in California and has a protection order filed against him.

"This is a guy that you know is walking around the grocery stores preying on women and it's really frightening to wonder what could possibly happen," says one woman, who did not want to be identified. She says Lawrence followed her to her car in a Stop and Shop parking lot a few months ago and asked her out.

"I'm not guilty, all I did was approach a girl and that was it," Lawrence says.

Investigators say the 52-year-old was arraigned Wednesday.

[HOME](#) [NEWS](#) [WEATHER](#) [SPORTS](#) [FEATURES](#) [NUMBERS & LINKS](#) [TRAFFIC](#) [FOOD & FUN](#)

FOLLOW US

- [Join Us On Facebook](#)
- [Join Us On Twitter](#)
- [Get our Apps](#)
- [Go to Mobile Website](#)
- [Sign Up for Our Newsletters](#)

OUR NETWORK

- [Optimum](#)
- [Newsday](#)
- [News 12 Varsity](#)
- [Newsday Cars](#)
- [Newsday Homes](#)

HELPFUL LINKS

- [Careers](#)
- [Internships](#)
- [Advertise](#)
- [RSS](#)
- [About Us](#)
- [News Tips](#)
- [Send Us Photos/Video](#)
- [Newscasts](#)

MORE LINKS

- [Site Map](#)
- [Feedback](#)
- [Terms of Service](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)

All content © 2001 - 2017 Frankly and NEWS12. All Rights Reserved. For more information on this site, please read our [Privacy Policy](#), [Terms of Service](#), and [Ad Choices](#).

WATCH NEWS 12 NOW

[Log In](#) [Change Region](#)

[NEWS](#) [WEATHER](#) [SPORTS](#) [FEATURES](#) [NUMBERS & LINKS](#) [TRAFFIC](#) [FOOD & FUN](#)

Police: Westport man charged with stalking women

Posted: Mar 14, 2018 9:46 PM EDT
Updated: Mar 14, 2018 9:46 PM EDT

WESTPORT - A Westport man is facing charges for allegedly stalking several women around town.

The arrest warrant names 52-year-old James Lawrence in 10 different cases.

One woman says a man followed her to her car in a Whole Foods parking lot a few months ago and asked her out.

Investigators say Lawrence turned himself in last week and was arraigned Wednesday.

Officials say he has a history of following women around a store and then following them out to their cars, where he would either stare at them or get in their personal space.

Police charged Lawrence with breach of peace for an incident back in November.

Authorities say there is still a protective order against Lawrence and that he is facing similar charges in California.

[HOME](#) [NEWS](#) [WEATHER](#) [SPORTS](#) [FEATURES](#) [NUMBERS & LINKS](#) [TRAFFIC](#) [FOOD & FUN](#)

FOLLOW US

- [Join Us On Facebook](#)
- [Join Us On Twitter](#)
- [Get our Apps](#)
- [Go to Mobile Website](#)
- [Sign Up for Our Newsletters](#)

OUR NETWORK

- [Optimum](#)
- [Newsday](#)
- [News 12 Varsity](#)
- [Newsday Cars](#)
- [Newsday Homes](#)

HELPFUL LINKS

- [Careers](#)
- [Internships](#)
- [Advertise](#)
- [RSS](#)
- [About Us](#)
- [News Tips](#)
- [Send Us Photos/Video](#)
- [Newscasts](#)

MORE LINKS

- [Site Map](#)
- [Feedback](#)
- [Terms of Service](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)

All content © 2001 - 2017 Frankly and NEWS12. All Rights Reserved. For more information on this site, please read our [Privacy Policy](#), [Terms of Service](#), and [Ad Choices](#).

WATCH NEWS 12 NOW

Log In Change Region

NEWS WEATHER SPORTS FEATURES NUMBERS & LINKS TRAFFIC FOOD & FUN

Police: Westport man charged with stalking women

Posted: Mar 15, 2018 6:03 AM EDT
Updated: Mar 15, 2018 7:31 AM EDT

WESTPORT - Police say a Westport man is facing charges today for allegedly stalking several women at local grocery stores.

They say James Lawrence, 52, has a history of following women around local groceries stores and then out to their cars.

According to police, Lawrence has been involved in 10 different cases and was charged with breach of peace for an incident back in November after turning himself in last week. They say he has faced similar charges in California and has a protection order filed against him.

"This is a guy that you know is walking around the grocery stores preying on women and it's really frightening to wonder what could possibly happen," says one woman, who did not want to be identified. She says Lawrence followed her to her car in a Stop and Shop parking lot a few months ago and asked her out.

"I'm not guilty, all I did was approach a girl and that was it," Lawrence says.

Investigators say the 52-year-old was arraigned Wednesday.

"dulling impact"?
- no charges - plus at
- Never mention known cases long closed
- Never mention no criminal history in CT - place of "cases"
- no charges in California
- "Preying" woman who never went to police and when she did after News 12 police did nothing -

HOME NEWS WEATHER SPORTS FEATURES NUMBERS & LINKS TRAFFIC FOOD & FUN

FOLLOW US

- [Join Us On Facebook](#)
- [Join Us On Twitter](#)
- [Get our Apps](#)
- [Go to Mobile Website](#)
- [Sign Up for Our Newsletters](#)

OUR NETWORK

- [Optimum](#)
- [Newsday](#)
- [News 12 Varsity](#)
- [Newsday Cars](#)
- [Newsday Homes](#)

HELPFUL LINKS

- [Careers](#)
- [Internships](#)
- [Advertise](#)
- [RSS](#)
- [About Us](#)
- [News Tips](#)
- [Send Us Photos/Video](#)
- [Newscasts](#)

MORE LINKS

- [Site Map](#)
- [Feedback](#)
- [Terms of Service](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)

△ - creates more impact

fact of no criminal history was written in warrant reported read thus he renew the "cases" to be long close to yet chose to omit this information and present in ambiguous ways

WATCH NEWS 12 NOW

Log In Change Region

NEWS WEATHER SPORTS FEATURES NUMBERS & LINKS TRAFFIC FOOD & FUN

Police: Westport man charged with stalking women

Posted: Mar 14, 2018 9:46 PM EDT
Updated: Mar 14, 2018 9:46 PM EDT

WESTPORT - A Westport man is facing charges for allegedly stalking several women around town.

The arrest warrant names 52-year-old James Lawrence in 10 different cases.

One woman says a man followed her to her car in a Whole Foods parking lot a few months ago and asked her out.

Investigators say Lawrence turned himself in last week and was arraigned Wednesday.

Officials say he has a history of following women around a store and then following them out to their cars, where he would either stare at them or get in their personal space.

Police charged Lawrence with breach of peace for an incident back in November.

Authorities say there is still a protective order against Lawrence and that he is facing similar charges in California.

*"dotted impact" ?
- no charges - plural*

- no mention of known "cases" long closed as Arrest Warrant says

*- makes it seem like another arrest
- no charges - plural*

HOME NEWS WEATHER SPORTS FEATURES NUMBERS & LINKS TRAFFIC FOOD & FUN

FOLLOW US

- [Join Us On Facebook](#)
- [Join Us On Twitter](#)
- [Get our Apps](#)
- [Go to Mobile Website](#)
- [Sign Up for Our Newsletters](#)

OUR NETWORK

- [Optimum](#)
- [Newsday](#)
- [News 12 Varsity](#)
- [Newsday Cars](#)
- [Newsday Homes](#)

HELPFUL LINKS

- [Careers](#)
- [Internships](#)
- [Advertise](#)
- [RSS](#)
- [About Us](#)
- [News Tips](#)
- [Send Us Photos/Video](#)
- [Newscasts](#)

MORE LINKS

- [Site Map](#)
- [Feedback](#)
- [Terms of Service](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)

Δ creates more impact

Reporter chooses to not include the fact the warrant states I have no criminal history in CT thus those "cases" are long closed to which reporter renew. Reporter chose to report and omit this info and present in agenda-driven ambiguous ways.

All content © 2001 - 2017 Frankly and NEWS12. All Rights Reserved. For more information on this site, please read our [Privacy Policy](#), [Terms of Service](#), and [Ad Choices](#).

EXHIBIT D

See Submitted
USB Device of
Dispatch Tapes

EXHIBIT D

Introduction to Blue USB Device: DELIVERED Oct. 29, 2019. ECF 59
NOW Blue USB Devices submitted for Appeals Court - April 2020.
EXHIBIT D - USB DEVICES

Once again, what I wish to share now irrefutable evidence of not only there being no *“stalking”/“stalking several women”* TO WHICH THE ARREST WARRANT ALREADY SHOWS and to which I was never charged with but slanderously reported on by News 12 to have been *“Arrested for Stalking Several Women”*, but also wish to share further evidence of my innocence of the arresting charge of **one count of Second Degree Breach of Peace**. What I wish to share are Dispatch Audio Tapes of the arresting incident that will show how the Complainant immediately described the incident - like her words, tone and deportment. YES - What I wish to share are Dispatch Audio Tapes (outrageously the only tapes available in the Second Degree Breach of Peace case) of the Nov. 5, 2017 incident, Dispatch Audio Tapes that were never handed over as Discovery by Officer James Sullivan and never shared with my lawyer, and never mentioned in either the Police Reports or Arrest Warrant. Apparently according to my lawyer, this is a huge omission by Officer James Sullivan and not in accordance with proper procedure and proper protocol of police report/warrant documentation. Nevertheless, these are tapes News 12 never could have had access to and thus should have had the staid journalist ethics to forego hurried sensationalist reporting before Due Process of Law and attempt to ascertain more facts as the case progressed before misinterpreting and maliciously reporting I was *“Arrested for Stalking Several Women”*.

FACT – there was/are no tapes of any kind nor any Discovery turned over to the prosecutor. NOTHING!!! NO supermarket camera tapes, NO Sworn Written Statement, NO witness, NO tapes with body cams despite multiple officers on the scene, NO Dispatch Tapes to which I wish to share here via my recent FOIA request, and NO tapes of the booking despite it is common practice to record all booking (I was able to call out an officer in a lie and was very vocal in my defence at booking yet - NO booking tapes available either). Yes I researched all surrounding police departments and now have Westport Officers on tape admitting common procedure is they always tape the booking. **Once again – the only tapes are the Dispatch Audio Tapes to which I just received on Oct. 17, 2019 via a FOIA request Sept. 6, 2019 and I wish to share immediately.**

Yes – I decided (after the past year of learning the system) to make a Sept. 6, 2019 FOIA request (22 months after the Nov. 5, 2017 incident and 18 months after March 5, 2018 arrest) for any tapes in relation to this outrageous March 5, 2018 false arrest for alleged **one count Second Degree Breach of Peace** resulting in no criminal conviction. What I got was a notice from the Westport Police saying “Only Dispatch Tapes”. So on Oct. 19, 2019 (all documented), I received a disk of these Dispatch Audio Tapes – Dispatch Audio Tapes that show so much needed perspective, for the **Complainant (who has never made a Sworn Written Statement nor come forward as a witness) is recorded in these audio tapes describing the arresting incident and reported upon arrest and these audio tapes show much of what I have attempted to share with Your Honor from my point of view. I ask that the court listen to these tapes/evidence to further show I was not any threat whatsoever let alone doing anything whatsoever that Altice USA/News 12 maliciously reported on like *“stalking” or***

“stalking several women”.

**THE FOLLOWING TAPES DELIVERED ARE THE ASCERTAINED
DISPATCH AUDIO TAPES in form of Blue USB Device.**

The Woman – we shall refer to her as **“LD”** for she never came forward in 2 years as a witness. All tapes move fast and all together can be heard in less than 25 minutes.

EMPHASIS/SIGNIFICANT TAPES – TAPE 1, TAPE 6, TAPE 7, TAPE 11, TAPE 14.
Most are merely just a few words and empty air.

The following are basic interpretations.

Tape 1 – CALL. Husband made the call.

(Did she know he was calling the police?).

Husband: *“Some guy tried to get in the car with her”..*

INITIALLY EMOTIONALLY MISREPRESENTS THE INCIDENT FROM THE START.
DETAILS ARE QUICKLY CLEARED UP IN MINUTES THAT THERE WAS NO ATTEMPT
“TO GET IN THE CAR”.

Husband: *“She is on the way home now.”*

LD Woman then gets on the phone – they live 3 minutes from the market.

NO DISTRESS WITH THIS WOMAN WHATSOEVER!!!

They live 3 minutes from the market on Main Street.

LD Woman: *“... He was sort of just lingering in the parking lot I did not know if he was going to get in the vehicle .. “Motioned for me to open the door (window).”*

Dispatcher – “Did he speak to you? Did he say anything?”

(Dispatcher with a tone insinuating like perhaps there is unnecessary drama.)

LD Woman: *“He did not speak to me but I noticed his behavior was just odd because **he was just kinda like walking around the grocery store** (shopping) .. he was in the store and he **actually checked out before me** (bought my items) .. which is like ...and like there was a couple of times in the store where I felt like a **LITTLE uncomfortable** where he was like lingering ALMOST... “I DONT KNOW” .. I was just ...”*

How is it I was “following” when I checked out before her.

QUOTES:

“a couple of times I felt a little uncomfortable”

“sort of just lingering”,

“lingering ALMOST .. I don’t know ..”

English: Linger -

1: to be slow in parting or in quitting something : TARRY

English: Tarry -

1a: to delay or be tardy in acting or doing

1b: to linger in expectation : WAIT

English: Linger -

1: to remain or stay on in a place longer than is usual or expected, as if from reluctance to leave:

2: to remain alive; continue or persist, although gradually dying, ceasing, disappearing, etc.:

3: to dwell in contemplation, thought, or enjoyment:

4: to be tardy in action; delay; dawdle:

5: to walk slowly; saunter along.

verb (used with object)

6: to pass (time, life, etc.) in a leisurely or a tedious manner (usually followed by *away* or *out*):

LD Woman: "lingering ALMOST"

English: Almost – not quite; very nearly

Dictionary.com

Thesaurus.com



SYNONYMS ▾ linger

linger

 [ling-ger]

[SEE DEFINITION OF *linger*](#)

verb loiter, delay

verb continue, endure

Synonyms for *linger*

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|
| dawdle | dillydally | poke | tool | fool around |
| drift | falter | procrastinate | totter | fritter away |
| idle | hesitate | putter | trail | goof off |
| remain | hobble | saunter | traipse | hang around |
| stay | lag | shuffle | trifle | hang out |
| stick around | loll | slouch | trudge | put off |
| wait | lumber | stagger | vacillate | sit around |
| amble | mope | stop | be dilatory | take one's time |
| crawl | mosey | stroll | be long | wait around |
| dally | plod | tarry | be tardy | |

The Words *STALK, PREY, STEALTH, SURREPTITIOUS, HARASS*

or even **SECRETIVE**, are NOT INCLUDED in the definitions and synonyms for linger and definitely NOT "lingering almost".

For heavens sake according to this woman I was not even fully lingering!!!!
Where is the hell is anything like **hound, harass**, or at worst – **"stalk", "stealth", "surreptitious", or "prey"** ???!!!
LAST TIME I CHECKED – "LINGERING ALMOST" IS NOT A CRIME.

I WAS PARKED NEXT TO HER IN THE FRONT ROW. I FOUND MONEY ON MY WAY OUT. I NEVER TALKED TO HER IN STORE. I HAD NO DESIRE TO TALK TO HER IN STORE. I HAD PLENTY OF OPPORTUNITY APPARENTLY. I TALK TO NUMEROUS PEOPLE IN STORES. I HARMLESSLY WENT UP TO HER TO ASK A QUESTION. THAT IS ALL. THIS VERY BRIEF TIME WAS THE ONLY TIME I EVER SAW THIS WOMAN.

Tape 2 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

Tape 3 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

Tape 4 – **Dispatcher:** *"she (officer) is on the phone with her now getting the information"*

Tape 5 – **Dispatcher:** *"she is home"*

(I am not near her and still around the store.)

Tape 6 – **Dispatcher:** *"follow her out to her car" ...*

"did not try to get in the car just tried to get her to roll the window down" ...

"he seemed to be odd".

Tape 7 – **LD WOMAN:** *"I mean it is hard to say... I don't know if his behavior was harmless or .."*

She was then cut off before finishing sentence about describing my harmlessness and probable cause I was harmless ergo my intent easily showed to be peaceful.

(See definition of Second Degree Breach of Peace below)

YES MY BEHAVIOR WAS NOT HARMFUL IT WAS HARMLESS.

LD WOMAN: *"My name is Lara .."*

In a tone that shows NO DISTRESS BY WOMAN AT ALL and almost in like a coy tone – hardly scared or distressed – almost partially enamored with the attention. Weird.

Disturbing how she took on a sexy pretty girl voice.

BUT ONCE AGAIN; MY BEHAVIOR WAS NOT HARMFUL IT WAS HARMLESS.

Tape 8 – establishes she drove home from the store.

Tape 9 – 20 minutes ago from call.

Tape 10 – establishes that her car on west side 3-4 spaces from the store – front row- with my car parked next to hers on the same row in front of the store.

Tape 11 - Dispatcher: “confirm nothing was said to her. Thought it was odd facing her on drivers side before she drove off.”

Tape 12 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

Tape 13 – Tony the manager of the market calls police after police visited him minutes before , where he says on the call in regards to how police described incident - “incident where a woman says a gentleman wanted her to roll window down.” Tony informs Police I am there. This call is no more than 25 minutes after alleged incident.

Tape 14 – Dispatcher: “Gentleman is back at the store would like to explain himself to an officer.”

Once again – a peaceful attempt to clear up paranoia and nonsense.

Tape 15 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

Tape 16 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

Tape 17 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

Tape 18 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

Tape 19 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

SECOND DEGREE BREACH OF PEACE

a) A person is guilty of breach of the peace in the second degree when, **with intent** to cause inconvenience, annoyance or alarm, or recklessly creating a risk thereof, such person:

- (1) Engages in fighting or in violent, tumultuous or threatening behavior in a public place; or
- (2) assaults or strikes another; or
- (3) threatens to commit any crime against another person or such other person’s property; or (
- 4) publicly exhibits, distributes, posts up or advertises any offensive, indecent or abusive matter concerning any person; or
- (5) in a public place, uses abusive or obscene language or makes an obscene gesture; or
- (6) creates a public and hazardous or physically offensive condition**

English: Hazardous - ? :

1: involving or exposing one to risk (as of loss or harm)

English: Risk -

1: possibility of loss or injury

2: someone or something that creates or suggests a hazard

NO RISKS INVOLVED OR EXPOSED –

I only attempted to question – talking – everyday occurrence.

She lost nothing and had no harm done to her.

(BUT- SEE MY LOSSES AND HARMS DONE TO ME – List of Damages)

Physically offensive - ?

NO CONTACT. “in personal space” in a public parking lot!!!!???”

“I mean it is hard to say... I don't know if his behavior was harmless or ..”

NOT OFFENSIVE as shown in her description

“sort of just lingering”

"almost lingering.. I don't know" ..

NO WORDS. - AS YOU WILL HEAR, I CORRECTLY WROTE THE INCIDENT WAS VERY SHORT IN TIME - NOT EVEN A MINUTE.

**Did her tone seem like she was ever at risk of anything?
NO - of course not.**

The entire arresting incident was blown way way way out of proportion by Mark Sudol and News 12.

**DID I DESERVE TO HAVE MY LIFE DESTROYED
OVER THIS???!!!!!**

AND ONCE AGAIN - NO "STALKING" or "STALKING SEVERAL WOMEN" AS THE ARREST WARRANT NEVER SAID I WAS DOING OR EVER HAD BEEN DOING. Hardly worth the hit job from News 12 Mark Sudol doxxing me of unproven and never can be proved nonsense from distant past incident reports. If this lone arresting incident's contents are anything indicative of any allegedly similar type past incident at a market or cafe (as documented by arresting Officer Sullivan) that never resulted in any arrest, then I must say it is obvious I never *"stalked women"* in my past. Logic would dictate that any other "incident" long ago (involving no arrest) would be even more harmless with no bad intent since there was no arrest.

Once again, this incident described by Complainant via these Dispatch Audio Tapes resulted in an arrest for Second Degree Breach of Peace to which News 12 maliciously interpreted in a special story 2 weeks after the arrest showing no proper research

and affording me no Presumption of Innocence and proper Due Process of Law.

They had plenty of time to get to know basic facts to interpret the Arrest Warrant in a proper way but for some strange reasons went too far in their reporting, reasons that are still yet to be fully known and thus this case James Lawrence v. Altice USA/News 12 demands further legal processes to ascertain more details.

EXHIBIT E



James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

(no subject)

James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>
 To: "Prezioso, Anthony" <aprezioso@westportct.gov>

Sun, Nov 17, 2019 at 4:34 AM

Thank you for Officer Grasso's Warrant.

I do take notice and concern for a few parts of this warrant.

I would like to address Section 8 where half of this section 8 is blotted out.

As I informed you, the clerks at the courthouse have copy for me as well but because I live in Lithuania I cannot go there to pick it up until January 28.

I would appreciate a re-evaluation of this section 8 so we do not get jammed up in the future.

I think most if not all redactions are not needed since I know pretty much all the characters involved.

Section 8 please.

thanks

James Lawrence

[Quoted text hidden]

Note: Section 8 is a reference to a
 Warrant rejected by the Prosecutor
 related to the Feb. 6, 2019
 Email Harassment false arrest
 (1 year after News 2 & 3 slander and libel).
 What is of issue is the police's
 intention not to doxx Arrest Records
 devoid of convictions left alone
 wanting the public to think past
 "cases" devoid of any arrest as
 proper fodder for the media to
 interpret at will against police
 redaction policies left alone
 choice of language.



James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

(no subject)

Prezioso, Anthony <aprezioso@westportct.gov>
To: James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 18, 2019 at 1:52 PM

Hello Mr. Lawrence,

Section 8 of the arrest warrant contains criminal history information taken from computer checks which as a matter of practice is redacted from release.

Since you are the subject of this information, I have no issue providing it to you in unredacted form based on this request.

I have left a copy of this page of the arrest warrant minus that redaction at the records window. I have also instructed the clerk to waive the fee associated with the purchase of the page as a courtesy.

Thank you.

-Anthony Prezioso

Lieutenant Anthony Prezioso

Administrative Lieutenant

Westport Police Department

50 Jesup Road

Westport, CT 06880

APrezioso@WestportCT.gov

Phone: (203) 341-6009

Fax: (203) 341-6094

From: James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, November 17, 2019 4:35 AM

To: Prezioso, Anthony <aprezioso@westportct.gov>

Subject: Re: Re:



James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

(no subject)

James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

Sun, Feb 2, 2020 at 8:26 AM

To: "Prezioso, Anthony" <aprezioso@westportct.gov>

one last question please.

Is it possible to get a police facebook posting about my March 5, 2018 arrest?

it seems these get taken down over time.

I have a copy of the benign facebook posting of the Feb. 6, 2019 arrest.

both are very helpful in my quest to find responsible parties of sharing controversial material.

James Lawrence

[Quoted text hidden]



James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

(no subject)

Prezioso, Anthony <aprezioso@westportct.gov>
To: James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

Tue, Feb 4, 2020 at 1:01 PM

Hello Mr. Lawrence,

All of this department's Facebook postings regarding arrests are deleted after approximately thirty days.

The Facebook posting however is a mirror image of the information that must be disseminated at the time of a custodial arrest to be in compliance with the release of arrestee information, case information and associated charges as is statutorily required by public records law.

In that particular case, I did look up the press release information and found that the following was the narrative associated with that arrest:

"On 11/05/2017, officers were dispatched to a local grocery store on a report of a suspicious person. The victim reported she was followed around the store by an unknown male who then followed her out to her car and stood by the door of her vehicle. The male was later identified as James Lawrence, 52, of Westport, CT. An arrest warrant application was submitted for approval and granted by a judge. On 03/05/2018, Lawrence turned himself on the active arrest warrant. He was charged with 53a-181 Breach of Peace and released after posting \$5,000 court set bond. Lawrence is scheduled to appear in Norwalk Court on 3/14/18."

I hope that is helpful to you.

-Anthony Prezioso

Lieutenant Anthony Prezioso

Administrative Lieutenant

Westport Police Department

50 Jesup Road

Westport, CT 06880

APrezioso@WestportCT.gov

Phone: (203) 341-6009

Fax: (203) 341-6094



James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

(no subject)

James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

Thu, Feb 13, 2020 at 9:41 AM

To: "Prezioso, Anthony" <aprezioso@westportct.gov>

Officer Prezioso.

I have one last request and appreciate your prompt service.

Issue - a woman by the name of Wendy Higgins Chambers.

In police report 2017- 022937

at the last page additional narrative is a Complainant #1 who did wish to not have her name mentioned on a call to Officer Sullivan on March 15, 2018.

This is the woman who fomented the News 12 coverage and resulting lawsuit who then approached Westport News and made herself public via their article of March 23, 2018.

Westport News/Hearst Communications has been officially sued this week.

Wendy Higgins Chambers is being sued this coming Monday.

My question is this - **Is there any other police report with her name** after this March 15, 2018 call to Officer Sullivan-police report 2017-022937.?

Any other police report?

I was never ever contacted by police about this woman after her complaint for obvious reasons.

I am preparing a slander lawsuit to be served this Monday and need to have all facts for the Complaint.

I fly back to my home this Feb. 25 so I realize this could be pressing but much appreciated to have by this weekend.

I doubt there is anything for I would have been contacted back then but who knows.

I do believe I have a right to read any material someone attaches their name to.

thanks

James Lawrence

[Quoted text hidden]



James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

(no subject)

Prezioso, Anthony <aprezioso@westportct.gov>
To: James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

Thu, Feb 13, 2020 at 10:16 AM

Hello Mr. Lawrence,

In checking our records the only other report on file after that date relative to that name that I can locate is a November 13, 2018 report detailing found property; 2018-024030.

In briefly reviewing the narrative of that incident it appears that Chambers turned in a cell phone found on Long Lots Road.

I tried searching the name as both Wendy Higgins and Wendy Chambers and again this appears to be all that is one file after that date.

I hope that information is helpful to you.

-Anthony Prezioso

Lieutenant Anthony Prezioso

Administrative Lieutenant

Westport Police Department

50 Jesup Road

Westport, CT 06880

APrezioso@WestportCT.gov

Phone: (203) 341-6009

Fax: (203) 341-6094

From: James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2020 9:41 AM



James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

(no subject)

James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>
To: "Prezioso, Anthony" <aprezioso@westportct.gov>

Thu, Feb 20, 2020 at 8:05 AM

Thank you for all your help while I was briefly back in the area.

I have legal issues to pursue here for the coming year in regards to News 12, the Westport News, and Miss Wendy Chambers.

Attached is an Email from you in regards to questions I had about an arrest warrant that was rejected by the District Attorney

that seems to clarify your policy on what and how you redact material from release.

I recently had a good experience with 2 journalists reporting on my ongoing case against News 12

where they originally parroted the controversial material the Westport News questionably writes about.

The good experience is that after a proper dialogue as to the issues at hand in my cases against News 12 and Westport News

these other two journalists rewrote their articles in proper ways that seem to be in tune with your

"matters of practice to redact from release criminal history information".

The strange thing is Officer, I have no criminal history in Ct. as written Officer Sullivan's Arrest Warrant for the March 5, 2018 arrest

for one count of second degree breach of peace, and I am certain I only have one conviction on my record and that is a felony Domestic Violence conviction in California from 1996. All else mentioned in Arrest Warrants by Officer Sullivan and then Officer Grasso

are either mentioning an arrest that NEVER became a charge - 2013 domestic battery dispute in California, or charges that

that NEVER became a conviction - 1995 stalking charge. There is a 1987 evading police charge in Florida that to the best of my knowledge

was plead down to an infraction of Nolle, and a 1994 petty theft in California that was also plead to infraction or Nolle Prosequi.

I appreciate a proper understanding of my arrest record in tune with the words in the attached email.

I also appreciate any other proper redactions in regards to any other questionable unproven and un-provable material.

Good Day

James Lawrence

[Quoted text hidden]

 **PreziosoEmail1.pdf**
239K



James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

(no subject)

James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>
To: "Prezioso, Anthony" <aprezioso@westportct.gov>

Thu, Feb 20, 2020 at 8:11 AM

meaning - arrest record or conviction record.

I would think a conviction record would be proper for the public?

An arrest record without charges or or arrest record without convictions
can cause more type of problems much like the media are in.

I think you meant criminal history as - conviction record?

Or at least clarifying what is established "criminal history".

[Quoted text hidden]



James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

RE: [EXTERNAL]Re: Re:

Prezioso, Anthony <aprezioso@westportct.gov>
To: James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

Thu, Feb 20, 2020 at 10:43 AM

Hello Mr. Lawrence,

Yes, this check would return criminal convictions.

Lieutenant Anthony Prezioso

Administrative Lieutenant
Westport Police Department
50 Jesup Road
Westport, CT 06880
APrezioso@WestportCT.gov
Phone: (203) 341-6009
Fax: (203) 341-6094

From: James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2020 8:12 AM
To: Prezioso, Anthony <aprezioso@westportct.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Re: Re:

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Town of Westport's email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

meaning - arrest record or conviction record.

I would think a conviction record would be proper for the public?

An arrest record without charges or or arrest record without convictions

can cause more type of problems much like the media are in.



James Larenaisance <jameslarenaisance@gmail.com>
to Anthony ▾

Apr 7, 2020, 3:21 PM (18 hours ago) ☆ ↶ ⋮

good day Officer Prezioso

I have one question in relation to a question you answered for me about a woman named Wendy Higgins Chambers/ Wendy Chambers. You wrote back to me a month ago that there was no police report with this person on or after March 14, 2018.

Re-reading the email chain with you, I want to confirm if there is any complaint or documented incident report with Wendy Chambers **before this date as well.**

I will be needing any information on her approaches to the Westport Police and related documents.

I am suing her now and want to be certain as to her associations with police.

Her response to Complaint was vague and could not give a date she "called" police.

All I ask for and would much appreciate is that if there is any documented evidence of her associations with police (outside of that lost cell phone you wrote about) that I have these documents especially if related to me.

I know you all are slammed like all of us so appreciate asap for I must respond legally in the next 2 weeks.

thank you.

James



Prezioso, Anthony
to me ▾

8:41 AM (58 minutes ago) ☆ ↶ ⋮

Good Morning Mr. Lawrence,

The only other incidents on file relative to Chambers prior to that date all relate to traffic enforcement requests or complaints made in the years 2016 and 2017.

None of these have any association to you.

Stay well.

-Anthony

...