

JAMES LAWRENCE'S FIRST RESPONSE

Table of Contents

Connecticut Defamation Law

Analysis of Defense's Response

Analysis of Defense's Exhibits A – G

Conclusions

Attached Plaintiff Exhibits:

Exhibit A – Criminal Background Check for Florida, FBI (National), and Connecticut showing a clean Florida and Connecticut Record and only Conviction from 1996 in California.

Exhibit B – Clean Copy of Exhibit A Criminal Background Check.

Exhibit C – Official Police Press Release of the March 5, 2018 Arrest.

Exhibit D - Emails with Westport Police Lead FOIA Officer on Official Westport Police Redaction Policies, and Emails showing any Associations with Wendy Higgins Chambers.

Exhibit E – Police Report of the March 5, 2018 Arrest for One Count of Second Degree Breach of Peace, with Evidence of Wendy Higgins Chambers March 15, 2018 Police Visit on Page 8 which was 1 week before her March 23, 2018 persistent persecution with the Westport News.

Exhibit F – March 14-15, 2018 Articles and Videos of News 12's Written and Broadcast News Report fomented by and starring Wendy Higgins Chambers resulting in lawsuit Lawrence v. Altice USA (Disks of Broadcasts to be supplied when court re-opens).

Exhibit G – March 23, 2018 Westport News Article #2 fomented by and starring Wendy Higgins Chambers resulting in lawsuit Lawrence v Hearst Communications.

Exhibit H – The Daily Voice: An Example of Proper News Coverage of My Arrest Without Wendy Higgins Chambers' Agenda of Pushing Unproven and Un-Provable Material Unrelated to the Arrest that is Against the Official Police Press Release and Against Police Redaction Practices.

Future Exhibits coming in next Response.

ESTABLISHING A CONNECTICUT DEFAMATION CLAIM REQUIRES THE FOLLOWING:

- o The statement under review must be **false**. The false statement can be made **willfully, recklessly or negligently**;
- o The statement must have been "published" or communicated **to a third party**. Privately accusing another person of egregious conduct, without the accusation heard by a third party, will not support a defamation claim in CT;
- o The statement under review must **identify the defamed party**, but the identification need not be made only by name.
- o The subject must **suffer reputational damage** as a result of the statement. Whether the claimant actually suffered damage is usually a question for a jury or judge to decide.

This case obviously satisfies all these necessary elements of a defamation claim. I do not enjoy doing this. I have never sued anyone in my life and I have never been sued before. I wish I could avoid this but the facts are the Damages I have experienced are easily proven to exist and continue to grow and deserve to be heard by a jury. If Wendy Higgins Chambers did not persistently involve herself none of the ongoing Damages I experience to this day would have happened.

FACT: This case has distinct differences to my ongoing case against News 12 because most significantly it is Wendy Higgins Chambers who is the primary antagonist and conduit between two media companies who are proven to report on me in "not fair" or "outright false" ways with the issues of slander and libel remaining. News 12 took down their "outright false" coverage of me and did not persist. The Westport News colluded with Wendy Higgins Chambers agenda after News 12 took remedial steps posting on their website "our apologies", and now the Westport News/Hearst are sued as of February 2020 for their persistence. Despite Police hearing her belated complaint after her News 12 fomented fiasco and before her malicious persistence with the Westport News, Wendy Higgins Chambers most certainly persisted again with her lies feigning to be some kind of faux victim around various towns after her admitted persistence with the media which makes this case worthy of a jury of our peers. Her very unusual and persistent choice to push an un-provable interpretation of the controversially/dubiously ascertained Arrest Warrant beyond the Official Police Press Release/against Police Redaction Policies, and in ways beyond any normal every day arrest, deserves to be in front of a jury of our peers. The easily proven Damages I have experienced needs to be someone's responsibility and since after arrest my clean Ct. record stands firm, who is to blame for the obviously extreme damaging results of my what should have been a simple arrest meant for Due Process to sort out.

ANALYSIS OF DEFENSE'S FIRST RESPONSE

Defense - in Italic Writing

James Lawrence - in Regular Writing

Defense:

“After she disengaged in conversation with Plaintiff twice inside the store, he pulled up in front of her car in a dark parking lot, jumped out and came quickly towards her. The encounters with Plaintiff frightened Ms. Chambers. After seeing a story in the news in or about March 2018, a story about Plaintiff’s arrest for similar conduct at the Fresh Market in Westport, Ms. Chambers called the Westport Police to report she had similar encounters with the Plaintiff. Ms. Chambers also spoke to the local news media about the similar encounters she had had, in an effort to let other women know about the risk.”

This is another self-serving lie. She did not disengage any conversation. We proceeded to the same check out line and I checked out before her after another 5-10 minute conversation in line (in May 2017 not in Jan 2018), hence why I went to my car and gave her my business card outside. If I was behind her in the check out line I would not have been able to approach her car. She was so “frightened” at this approach in broad daylight with no harm that she made no complaint to anyone – store manager, police, nor me. Her story has so little credibility that police did nothing with her complaint yet she persisted onward with her persecution despite police investigation. She is a pathetic lying little snowflake who looked for attention and got into and persisted into matters by pushing around town a dubiously ascertained arrest warrant that was best meant for a prosecutor and possible jury to which I was never afforded because of the triviality of the case. **See Plaintiff Exhibit A.**

Defense:

Ms. Chambers saw him again at a supermarket in Westport a week or so later.

- *She said: “This is a guy that you know **is preying on women** and it’s really frightening to wonder what could possibly happen.”*
- *She said: “This is just something that I really wanted people to know about because it’s happening a lot...maybe we can put an end to it.”*

So once again you see we apparently crossed paths again (contrary to my knowledge) yet I did not have a need to speak to her after giving her my business card. And here is that **“preying on women”** quote that is among the issues now at the Second Circuit Court of Appeal - the “not fair” and “outright false” coverage of me that stated I was **“charged for stalking several women”**. Once again – this is after an arrest so there was certainly police action to “put an end to it” yet she feels it is her business to persecute despite her story having no credibility with police let alone a common sense jury. Keep in mind THIS IS NOT METOO. This woman is clearly mentally imbalanced unlike any other of the numerous women I have met, and a supermarket encounter in broad daylight hardly fits anything that any rational being would take to such an **extreme** hence why there are no other names with any alleged supermarket incident including the arresting incident where I was am not guilty of hence my clean Ct. record stands firm.

FACT: Had this Wendy Higgins Chambers not gotten involved with my arrest none of the listed Damages would have happened to me and I could have gone through the system's Due Process of Law to sort out the issues and that includes the obviously inaccurate issues of the dubiously ascertained arrest warrant, a action that rarely happens with people arrested – especially people like me – no criminal history in Ct – the place – Westport – of the alleged incidents devoid of arrest. **See Plaintiff Exhibit A.** YES if this woman had an actual arrest tied to her name after police contact that could justify her actions, **but she was investigated and she continued her lies and persecution causing all the Damages listed in Complaint. She persisted while knowing I was already harmed before Due Process of Law. This is clear malice.**

Defense:

This present suit versus Ms. Chambers seeks to attack and punish her for making protected, truthful statements to the police and in a public forum to the press.

Once again, she has the right to make statements to police. Police did nothing with her statements. She does not have the right to approach media over such a trivial matter without any facts of my arrest and to push around town her personal interpretation of a dubiously ascertained arrest warrant against police redaction practices. Who in the hell goes to the largest and most powerful media source in the area over a market incident that a prosecutor could not even find to be criminal? This is truly sick times America is in with this gender war and extreme blowback from MeToo sex harassment cases and **somehow because of this veritable nasty woman Wendy Higgins Chambers I was conflated into this zeitgeist resulting in just as many Damages as a sex harassment MeToo accusation and or arrest.** This case is important because women do not have absolute rights to deploy media to push an unproven and unprovable agenda. Feelings are not facts. Men have rights too and given there are no names and more importantly no prior arrests with this persecution outside of Wendy Higgins Chambers who has no arrest tied to her name there is no doubt that her malicious persistence is extreme and falls under the statute of Slander. If you are going to ruin someone's name before Due Process of Law and after Due Process of Law I suggest you have some kind of harm done to you let alone harms done to anyone else.

FACT: Wendy Higgins Chambers experienced no harm and is no victim of anything besides a potentially unwanted easily proven peaceful conversation. This now alleged “uncomfortable conversation” after 9 months time (May 2017 – March 14, 2018 persecution) is a lie given the facts of our peaceful encounter that she obviously hides. Yes this case belongs in front of a jury to hear these hidden facts and decide if she had grounds to push and persist and persecute after her visit to police. **Does anyone really think what Wendy Higgins Chambers writes in a mere 2-3 sentences at Defense Exhibit F – Affidavit has anything to do with a at least 10 minute conversation within the market? A lot of words can happen in 10 minutes, more than what this woman so cowardly attempts to justify with 2-3 sentences.**

FACT: No women from anything Defense submits in the form of a police incident report fully investigated resulting in no arrest (cases known to be closed by Wendy Higgins Chambers who ascertained and reads the arrest warrant stating I have no criminal history in Connecticut) ever experienced any harm nor stepped up as any kind of Complainant with Sworn Written Statement giving their names. **This fact - the existence of no names behind alleged supermarket**

encounters that were found noncriminal - unproven and un-provable given statute of limitations.

FACT: You would think there would be one “case” of an actual harm to justify Wendy Higgins Chambers’ persecution but she cannot point to one case or closed case that ever showed harms done to anyone in my 54 years alive.

Defense:

This is vexatious litigation to intimidate Ms. Chambers and other women from speaking truthfully and in good faith.

Wow. Men do not have rights? Just believe the woman? Utter disgusting identity politic gender warring nonsense. I have the right to address Wendy Higgins Chamber’s behavior, actions, persistence, effect on the community aka Damages and outright lies?

FACT: SHE LIED. REPEATEDLY.

Defense:

Ms. Chambers spoke to the Westport Police in March 2019 which constitutes petitioning the government regarding a matter of public concern.

This is proof of how weak a defense she has. **Wendy Higgins Chambers cannot even put an actual date she visited the police while on her self-important persecution and “concern for other women”**. This is very telling because Defense wants to make it seem her conduct was not persistence against police investigations. If this persecution was so important why is there not any proper details as to this police visit and conversation with police and **actual date – FYI – March 15, 2018** – the day News 12 took down there as Judge Underhill wrote **“outright false” and “unfair”** coverage of me and BEFORE she persisted by going to the Westport News knowing I was already potentially harmed with her Course of Conduct harassment of me and harassment of the legal system. **See Plaintiff Exhibit E**

FACT: Police investigations have far more credibility than women who go to the media with a story without a police investigation (in this new age of social media madness in need of proper legal precedents). Thus Wendy Higgins Chambers actions can be seen as harassing and slanderous given she is attempting to portray me in ways against police findings, and attempting to portray me in ways before Due Process of Law to which the police allege I committed a one count act of Second Degree Breach of Peace. This woman caused utter chaos for everyone involved in this arrest.

FACT: No women ever went to the media to tell a story about an unwanted supermarket encounter with me – only Wendy Higgins Chambers did this to me (an obvious sign of how Wendy Higgins Chambers is looking for very questionable attention in the times of the MeToo sex harassment women’s marches) and she was investigated by police. Previous women called police and either did not press charges or allowed police to decide if there was probable cause for

any crime and intent to commit an illegal act to which there never was before this March 5, 2018 madness that resulted in no criminal conviction. Yes Chambers has no credibility to do what she did – she cannot point to any harm at all and cannot point to any effort by her to quote “*do things for other women*” at the time when the alleged big bad guy gave her a business card. Utterly nauseating persecution that demands to be in front of a jury, for there are certain issues about men’s rights that have resulted because of such gross conflation with the extreme aspects of MeToo and I am a victim of these conflation because of Wendy Higgins Chambers.

FACT: My case has nothing to do with this MeToo madness yet is associated with it just as much as men who were accused or arrested for a sex misconduct. This case of an obvious imbalanced and bitter woman looking to do more and more harm based on no evidence in all fairness belongs in front of a jury of our peers.

Defense:

Ms. Chambers attests that she spoke to the Westport Police in or about March 2018 out of concern for the safety and wellbeing of women in the Westport community related to encounters women were having with the Plaintiff.

Once again – refuses to give the actual date of police visit (March 15, 2018) because it incriminates her client. WHY AVOIDING A PROPER CHAIN OF EVENTS? How can this woman know when she visited media in her big self-appointed duty to other alleged women yet totally be in the dark (again in the dark as when she concealed herself in the dark with News 12) as to when she visited police? See Plaintiff Exhibit E. Are not police the most important vehicle to resolve alleged issues or is she already with the belief that some public relations campaign via media persecution is more effective than a police arrest thereby showing more premeditated malice by her.

I do not question Wendy Higgins Chambers right to go to police to make a complaint. I take issue amongst other things with her persisting with lies in numerous locations and not just with the Westport News. She was heard by police and should have left the matters alone if she was not found to be a victim and allowed for Due Process of Law to find out if another person was a victim, which has never been the case. If police saw her story as criminal then she could go on an advertised speaking tour if she wanted about the big bad guy giving her a business card and writes books about the incident, and I too would have the right to sue her for any lies she committed. But police did not do anything with her complaint and **she persisted with top media and all over various towns causing Damages. Her actions were extreme to say the least and are completely fall within the Connecticut definitions of Slander.**

Defense:

Plaintiff’s defamation claim fails because Ms. Chambers’ communications were true and in good faith.

Wendy Higgins Chambers’ actions were not truthful. She obviously hides various facts from our at least 10-15 minute peaceful conversation that a jury should be hearing. Her actions were extreme by clearly persisting against the facts – police saw no criminal activity of her story worthy of an arrest (let alone media persecution) or even a police call to me to inform or warn

me about Wendy Higgins Chambers. I knew nothing of this until I read the Incident Report a year later and put the pieces together myself.

FACT. IT CAN EASILY BE PROVED THAT A WOMAN GOING TO THE MEDIA WITH NO ARREST CAN CAUSE MORE DAMAGES THAN AN ACTUAL ARREST. HOW FAIR IS THIS AND HOW MANY VISITS TO MEDIA DO WE ALLOW WOMEN TO MAKE AFTER THEIR PERSONAL COMPLAINT IS PROVEN TO BE NOT CRIMINAL AFTER POLICE INVESTIGATION. THIS IS AN IMPORATANT ISSUE FOR THE COURT TO TAKE UP.

Defense:

This is all true. The police report establishes, just as Judge Underhill found, that this conduct by Plaintiff had occurred a lot.

CONDUCT THAT IS UNPROVEN AND UN-PROVABLE.

SEE PLAINTIFF EXHIBIT A –CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECK.

Define “conduct” that would be worthy of persecution with top media, and “*had occurred a lot*” – prove this! **Defense attempts to interpret this ongoing case Lawrence v. Altice USA without any understanding of the nature of that case nor understanding that her client has a particularly different case because of her persistence with various media and establishments in comparison to News 12 who took down what Judge Underhill called “not fair” and “outright false” coverage. Big difference that Defense avoids.**

FACT: It was not Judge Underhill’s job to find any past incident report resulting in no arrest cases long closed with statute of limitations in effect as anything more than an unproven incident report.

Quote:

*≈ Judge Underhill: “It is an open question in the Second Circuit whether courts can take judicial notice of police incident reports, but it seems that many courts refrain from doing so. See, e.g., Alvarez v. County of Orange, N.Y., 95 F. Supp. 3d 385, 398 (S.D.N.Y. 2015); Bejaoui v. City of New York, 2015 WL 1529633, at *6 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 21, 2015); Serrata v. Givens, 2019 WL 1597297, at *4 (E.D.N.Y. Apr. 15, 2019).”* **Lawrence v. Altice ECF 66 Page 2**

Anyone who has read the case Lawrence v. Altice USA knows the Summary Judgment was decided based on a under-developed dictionary definition of the word **stalk** to which is now before the Second Circuit Court of Appeals. This subjective and very under-developed dictionary definition of the word **stalk** was found to be acceptable by Judge Underhill while I argue not only for a proper dictionary and cultural definition of the word **stalk**, but the actual legal definition of the word **stalk** given the News 12 coverage of me was around an arrest for one count of Second Degree Breach of Peace which would force the media to obey the certain legal Course of Conduct definitions – two or more acts toward someone.

FACT: This case Lawrence v. Altice USA is nowhere near over.

Incident Reports devoid of an arrest tell one-sided stories (like Wendy Higgins Chambers story) and **when comes the time for my side of stories to be told?** There was never an arrest and I deserve continued Presumption of Innocence yet Defense here is again pandering to the sick

identity politic zeitgeist of “just believe the woman”. This is not fair as Judge Underhill wrote in his denial of News 12 Motion to Dismiss – they “did not get the arrest right” and was “not a fair report” let alone their “outright false” coverage he wrote in Summary Judgment.

And Defense relying on my ongoing case with Altice is desperate because Wendy Higgins Chambers is the sole conduit to News 12 and from News 12 to the Westport News let alone others in the community and she certainly has issues alone to herself and not Altice/News 12.

Defense:

The complaint is totally devoid of allegations of conduct that could be considered so outrageous in character or extreme in degree that it goes beyond all possible bounds of decency.

DENIAL AND SPIN.

WENDY HIGGINS CHAMBERS CONDUCT WAS EXTREME AND WAS BEYOND WHAT ANYONE EVER DID IN THE FORM OF COMPLAINING EVER IN MY LIFE. WHO FETHCES AN ARREST WARRANT AGAINST POLICE REDACTION POLICIES AND BEYOND THE OFFICIAL POLICE PRESS RELEASE AND PARADES IT AROUND TOWNS AND MEDIA COMPANIES WITHOUT A CLUE AS TO THE VERITABLE AND VERIFIABLE CONTENTS ALL THE WHILE NEVER BEING SEEN AS HAVING A GENUINE COMPLAINT WORTHY OF POLICE ACTION? **See Plaintiff Exhibits C, D.**

Defense:

Despite Plaintiff using colorful language and aggressive adjectives to describe Ms. Chambers, he has not asserted conduct by her that could be considered extreme or outrageous.

So a woman can do all the proven Damages she did and a man cannot defend himself?

FACT: This case belongs in front of a jury of our peers to decide if her actions were normal and in tune with anything worthy of making persistent headline news that were both botched in their own dirty ways before and after Due Processes of Law. For all I have described her actions were obviously extreme. Yes both media Wendy Higgins Chambers colluded with did some kind of mistakes that is very telling to say the least.

Defense:

She reported about her encounters with him, that they made her uncomfortable, and that she wanted women to know it happened a lot.

Pathetic - given the fact that Defense never has the courage to address any of the Damages created by Wendy Higgins Chambers’ obviously extreme actions. I deal with “uncomfortable” situations every day and do not seek to make a federal case of it as I have been forced to do – 2 federal cases to clear my good name after being found not guilty of the arresting charge, and resulting Damages and costs that Wendy Higgins Chambers

fomented in persisting ways. Given the facts that I was never arrested for anything she is persecuting me in multiple media, this woman could never know any of the proper fair and balanced details of these closed cases to be some kind of self-styled and self-appointed judge (away from Police intents and redaction polices). She now is burdened to proving she knows anything about any past closed case already found to be non-criminal. She is now burdened to having to hear my sides of any story that a police Incident Report did not document let alone that she did or could not chare with the media. She is now burdened with having to show a jury actual people and witnesses to alleged supermarket incidents that made people “feel uncomfortable” despite there being no crime. It is time for both sides to present witnesses to supermarket encounters about issues of amiability or uneasiness for I have numerous witnesses to share.

ANALYSIS OF DEFENSE EXHIBITS

DEFENSE EXHIBIT A

Defense Exhibit A – Defense Exhibit A shows the Arrest Warrant that is mysteriously ascertained by Wendy Higgins Chambers against Westport Police matters of practice to redact particular non-criminal information (see **Plaintiff Exhibit D**), resulting in Wendy Higgins Chambers going to News 12 on March 14, 2018 who was forced to take down their “unfair report” and “outright false” coverage. This Arrest Warrant Defence Exhibit A then makes its way to the Westport News’ 21 year old reporter Sophie Cecilia Vaughan on March 23, 2018 via Wendy Higgins Chambers as well as around various towns via Wendy Higgins Chambers visiting local merchants and God knows where and who else. Between this time frame Wendy Higgins Chambers went to the police on March 15, 2018 after her March 14, 2018 News 12 visit, police who then proceeded to not even write up an individual incident report of her hyped and slanderous claims nor even call me.

Defense Exhibit A – Defense Exhibit A also shows the Incident Report of this false Arrest Warrant where at the **additional narrative page 8** a woman who makes a complaint referring to a talk with me within a market about Campbell’s Soup. **This is Wendy Higgins Chambers.** Wendy Higgins Chambers had formerly worked for Campbell’s Soup/Pepperidge Farms during that time amongst many other facts Wendy Higgins Chambers conveniently leaves out of our 10-15 minute peaceful conversation in the market resulting in no complaint to store manager, no complaint to police, and no complaint to me at the time nor ever in the future for there was never any other sightings or contact with this woman. Once again, amongst Wendy Higgins Chambers lies is that this peaceful conversation happened in January 2018 while the facts are it happened back in the summer of 2017 – all within the police’s statute of limitations to make an arrest to which never happened for obvious reasons.

Defense Exhibit A – Defense Exhibit A then shows a June 2017 Incident Report from a call about a woman I had a long conversation outside her work - a local nail salon. This woman did not make the complaint. The fact of this **Incident Report fully investigated resulting in NO arrest case long closed** is that her boss made the call to the police because of gossip about me (yes hair and nail salon gossip fest) – Westport and Westport only gossip while living all over the Tri-State area, country at large, and world at large with no such Incident Reports anywhere! The officer warned me to stay away and referred to the call as unfair because the woman I talked to did not make the call to police. **This is yet again an obvious reason why lay people’s access to parade Incident Reports or even Arrest Warrants around before Due Process of Law (the case with my March 5, 2018 false arrest for one count of Second Degree Breach of Peace) or after Due Process of Law (any of such fully investigated Incident Reports resulting in no arrest) is a dangerously unresolved issue given there is no proven criminal behavior or proven behavior of anything with my sides of the stories still to be heard.**

Once again;

Quote:

≈ **Judge Underhill: “It is an open question in the Second Circuit whether courts can take**

judicial notice of police incident reports, but it seems that many courts refrain from doing so. See, e.g., *Alvarez v. County of Orange, N.Y.*, 95 F. Supp. 3d 385, 398 (S.D.N.Y. 2015); *Bejaoui v. City of New York*, 2015 WL 1529633, at *6 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 21, 2015); *Serrata v. Givens*, 2019 WL 1597297, at *4 (E.D.N.Y. Apr. 15, 2019).” **Lawrence v. Altice ECF 66 Page 2**

Defense Exhibit A – Defense Exhibit A then shows a Sept 2018 Incident Report of my scorned ex-girlfriend living in my very own apartment feigning Email harassment to police devoid of any harassing Emails which is now a case standing at a Nolle Prosequi due to numerous ways this woman committed acts of perjury and gave false statements. She committed perjury by writing as the Incident Report documents: That I “came up behind my 63 year old tenant in my basement and put my hand over her mouth”. **Yes – welcome to America circa 2018 – women think they can do and say anything they want and just be believed.** THIS IS A LIE EASILY PROVEN WITH DOCUMENTS FROM THIS 63 YEAR-OLD TENANT. MORE EVIDENCE WILL BE PRESENTED AT A FUTURE TIME SHOWING HOW WENDY HIGGINS CHAMBERS MEDIA ACTIONS FOMENTED THIS MOBBING. In regard to this Incident Report, I was arrested for the following Email:

The case is around a sole email –

“**Ana advice: Do not cause my beloved 77 year old parents any stress whatsoever. Take this advice**”.

Yes this is all Discovery evidence produces. In all actuality she was harassing me via Emails I have now shared, and harassing my parents while I was away in Europe. This scorned ex-girlfriend knew I was in the system and vulnerable and attempted to sabotage my future cases against the media by knowing she could cry wolf and make up a story.

Yes – welcome to America circa 2018 – women think they can do and say anything they want and just be believed. All details of this case will be before Wendy Higgins Chambers in trial so to show complete Damages. For example, how this perjurer Ana devoid of any Discovery Email evidence mentions News 12 and Westport News 8 times in her sworn written statement. Yes 8 times in her sworn written statement yet not one bit of evidence that she is harassed, harmed, or in danger. I will advise Defense to plan to use this woman Ana as a future witness for their case for Ana is the only person to give a sworn written statement. Please feel free to contact this person to be interrogated at trial.

This Email looking out for the welfare of my elderly parents is not harassment under any legal definitions of Email harassment let alone any jury, and after showing all emails and texts to my parents (co-landlords) this case will only show how the actions of Wendy Higgins Chambers caused opportunistic attacks on me that reached to and happened within my very own home. Ana was basically trying to sabotage my lawsuits against the News 12 and Westport News. Wicked to say the least. The case standing at a Nolle Prosequi as of Feb. 2020, will be a complete Dismissal once the courts re-open. I welcome future Exhibits about this case because it only shows what extreme effect on the community Wendy Higgins Chambers had on me. **See future Exhibit on the complete un-redacted copy of the Incident Report that shows how this perjurer mentions News 12 and Westport News 8 times** without showing and kind of harassment towards her for I was living in Europe while attempting to manage the apartment. This is an Email case devoid of any harassing Emails of a scorned woman attacking me because

of the actions of Wendy Higgins Chambers endangering my life and unjustly turning me into a pariah.

SEE FUTURE VERY TELLING EXHIBIT TO BE PRESENTED OF HOW WENDY HIGGINS CHAMBERS INFLUENCED THIS FEB. 6, 2019 FALSE ARREST THAT AS OF FEBRUARY 2020 STANDS AS A NOLLE PROSEQUI.

FACT: All Incident Reports devoid of a conviction show an obvious bias and this insane Incident Report of the Feb. 6, 2019 false arrest for Email Harassment is a perfect example of Incident Reports and Arrest Warrants are best left out of the news until Due Process of Law, for most often the material is unproven demanding Due Process of Law. Imagine if Wendy Higgins Chambers or anyone else got their claws on this crazy Arrest Warrant and did a story on it without knowing all the now proven perjury. How could one begin to clean up that mess? These Police Incident Reports are dangerous and best left alone for legal professionals and not vindictive hell-bent citizens to wield around the media before Due Process of Law and after Due Process of Law, and wielding around towns persecuting without a clue to all the facts let alone fair and balanced coverage.

Once again, even Judge Underhill of Lawrence v. Altice USA agrees with the inadmissibility of these Incident Reports devoid of arrest let alone conviction!!!

Quote:

≈ Judge Underhill: “It is an open question in the Second Circuit whether courts can take judicial notice of police incident reports, but it seems that many courts refrain from doing so. See, e.g., Alvarez v. County of Orange, N.Y., 95 F. Supp. 3d 385, 398 (S.D.N.Y. 2015); Bejaoui v. City of New York, 2015 WL 1529633, at *6 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 21, 2015); Serrata v. Givens, 2019 WL 1597297, at *4 (E.D.N.Y. Apr. 15, 2019).” Lawrence v. Altice ECF 66 Page 2

Defense Exhibit A – Defense Exhibit A also shows an Incident Report in July of 2017 (all after the Trump election and the rise of the Women’s Marches where I and other men have numerous stories about aggressive women looking to point the finger at men for a range of alleged behavior) that was **fully investigated resulting in NO arrest case closed**. I was warned about a woman who after reading my websites made a complaint to police two weeks later. Strange to say the least. This woman too is anonymous. There is no sworn written statement and the statute of limitations is in effect. There is a mysterious pattern of anonymous women that’s is disturbing including the March 5, 2018 false arrest for one count of Second Degree Breach of Peace that too had no sworn written statement. Wendy Higgins Chambers is the only woman who gives her name to the media and she does not even have a individual Incident Report.

FACT: No Police Incident Report that was found to have no probable cause for arrest shows any proven behavior of anything, and in essence are one-sided documents that would demand further inquiries and proper sharing of my side of the story of the incident. These Incident Reports cannot establish anything but that these Incident Reports were fully investigated and resulted in no criminal behavior/arrest cases KNOWN by Wendy Higgins Chambers to be long closed.

DEFENSE EXHIBIT B

Defense Exhibit B – Defense Exhibit shows the 3 articles written by 21 year-old Westport News reporter Sophie Cecilia Vaughan (no longer with the paper after being demoted to a “Education Reporter” and is now tweeting her experiences on the campaign trail). Article #1 is a somewhat fair article simply describing the March 5, 2018 arrest for one count of Second Degree Breach of Peace according to the Official Police Press Release allowing for Due Process of Law to commence so to resolve ambiguities. Article #2 is the result of Wendy Higgins Chambers going to the reporter with her dubiously ascertained Arrest Warrant with and from News 12 (who took down their “unfair report” and “outright false “ coverage with a resulting splash page “Our Apologies”). Yes this is the Westport News’ Big Deuce Article #2 with Wendy Higgins Chambers **PERSISTING after her News 12 persecution and visit to police, KNOWING News 12 had already hurt me with an “unfair report” and “outright false” coverage.** The question is – Why is she doing this (outside of certain mental problems) for she had no experience with me that was no different than any other person I associate with every day of my 54 years alive.

FACT: Had this persistent visit to the media by Wendy Higgins Chambers not happened, I could have resolved my arrest in proper ways like 99% of others arrested free of being maliciously doxxed and persecuted and I would not have any Damages to which is the reason for this slander action against Wendy Higgins Chambers. She is the main cause of all Damages. My case against her is a case that has truly separate issues.

FACT: After asking for a trial while there being no witness, no market tapes, no complainant sworn written statement, etc.. no discovery at all – I was found not guilty of this Second Degree Breach of Peace charge and to this day still have a clean record in the state of Ct – the place of alleged “supermarket incidents”. **See Plaintiff Exhibit A.**

WHY THE PERSECUTION OVER MATTERS CHAMBERS KNEW NOTHING ABOUT WHILE HERSELF HAVING NO PROBABLE CAUSE DAMAGES DONE TO HER THAT POLICE WOULD ACT ON?

ANSWER – A faux and mentally imbalanced MeToo wannabe looking for attention. We live in a sick time where people want to be martyrs or stars and like to play a victim yet the only evidence of anyone being a victim with easily proven Damages is me.

Defense Exhibit B – Defense Exhibit B also shows the Article #3 of that Feb. 6, 2019 false arrest for Email Harassment without any harassing Emails – only one Email Sept. 18, 2018 - documented in Incident Report – Defense Exhibit A. This false arrest is a fiasco most certainly resulting from the persecution by Wendy Higgins Chambers because in the Incident Report of this false arrest (ex-girlfriend committed multiple acts of perjury) feigning complainant mentions the Wendy Higgins Chambers fomented Westport News article 8 times in her own personal desire to “do things for other women” yet devoid of any harassment done to her. **This Wendy Higgins Chambers and Ana (complainant from Email case) spinsters have a lot in common**

being the only names willing to speak, and need to be together in court with me for there will be much telling evidence presented. The facts are Ana harassed my mother and father and I was responding to this harassment. This complainant Ana's mentioning of News 12 and Westport News' reports 8 times in her statements and in Incident Report shows what Wendy Higgins Chambers persecution was doing to me around the community even within my own home for this complainant was renting my apartment from me while I moved to Europe in July 2018 after the persecution so to avoid conflicts. The Incident Report obviously reads like a scorned woman looking for revenge. AND once again - after 2016 Trump election and rise of women's marches – welcome to America circa 2018. – women who think they can get away with anything they feel or imagine.

This arrest as of April 2019 stands as a Nolle Prosequi to which once when the Coronavirus is resolved will be dismissed so to be able to pursue legal action against various people. ONCE AGAIN – all evidence/documents of this arrest will show complainant Ana's real motivation and all points to the news coverage fomented by Wendy Higgins Chambers. The resulting article of this false arrest - Westport News Article #3 presented here as Exhibit B, will be presented by me as well as to how this Article #3 caused compounding Damages from the unfair Westport News Article #2 fomented by and starring Wendy Higgins Chambers. **We have read a lot about men facing multiple accusers for things far more serious than my arrest and this case of mine against Wendy Higgins Chambers will show just how these snowball effects happen and what is actual truth in these snowball effects.**

Defense Exhibit B – Defense Exhibit B shows transcripts of the News 12 Broadcasts starring Wendy Higgins Chambers shaded in the dark shadows that were taken off News 12's website and scrubbed from the Internet with a remaining splash page saying "Our Apologies" and now they are locked in a lawsuit before the U.S. Second Circuit Court of Appeal and U.S. Supreme Court if need be. Actual broadcast videos will be presented as future Exhibit when the courts re-open sowing the "not fair" and "outright false" report of me being ***"charged for stalking several women"***.

[▶ WATCH NEWS 12 NOW](#)



The screenshot shows the top navigation bar of the News 12 Connecticut website. It features the News 12 logo on the left, followed by menu items: WEATHER, TOP STORIES, CRIME, VIDEOS, FOOD & FUN, NEWS 12+, and PODCASTS. On the right side, there are buttons for "Log In" and "Change Region", and a search icon.

Our Apologies

The page you requested is currently unavailable. Pages on this site are constantly being revised, updated, and occasionally removed. You may have followed an outdated link or have outdated pages in your browser cache.

Please use your browser's BACK button to return to the previous page.

We apologize for any inconvenience.

ADVERTISING



Wendy Higgins Chambers:

"this is a guy going around supermarkets preying on women"

YET WITHOUT ONE VIDEO OF ME ***"preying on women"***. I INVITE DEFENSE TO GET ONE VIDEO FROM POLICE OF ME WHILE IN A MARKET **PREYING ON**

WOMEN. MARKETS ARE WIRED INSIDE AND OUT – ONE VIDEO PLEASE FOR ACCORDING TO DEFENSE THERE IS AMPLE EVIDENCE!!!!

Merriam-Webster Dictionary

English Prey

noun

1: an animal taken by a predator as food

b: one that is helpless or unable to resist attack : VICTIM

2: the act or habit of preying

3archaic : SPOIL, BOOTY

intransitive verb

1a: to seize and devour prey

b: to commit violence or robbery or fraud

2: to have an injurious, destructive, or wasting effect

3: to make raids for the sake of booty

WHO IS THE REAL PREDATOR AND WHO CREATED VERITABLE AND EASILY PROVEN INJURIOUS, DESTRUCTIVE AND WASTING EFFECTS DAMAGES?

This Wendy Higgins Chambers like any woman I met at a market has no damages whatsoever – none. **And Wendy Higgins Chambers remains the only name that has come forward thereby showing emotional females after further review to process details deemed our encounters not worthy of pressing charges. No other person felt the need to fetch a dubiously ascertained Arrest Warrant and extremely and persistently persecute me via the media and around various town establishments after knowing I was already experiencing injurious and destructive Damages via the arrest and the first media company’s “outright false” coverage – News 12 – that Wendy Higgins Chambers initial attack.**

FACT: I never attacked her. Quite the opposite. I merely exchanged peaceful conversation, shared a business card and went my way. I never attacked anyone at a supermarket, as all know from my clean Criminal Record.

KEEP IN MIND – woman are believing hype and compounding hype – they have no idea what ever happened before with alleged supermarket incidents in proper details that resulted no arrest, and women like Wendy Higgins Chambers take liberties to conflate stories and heighten stories to the point of making me into some bogeyman, faux MeToo target, and pariah. Once again – get videos and get names. And get actual dates of alleged incidents. Get actual arrests after investigations. Get some kind of complaint from outside snowflake land Westport Ct. Just utter persecution that is obviously a product of freeing up women to do this to men after the Trump election and rise of the women’s marches. Bias to say the least. Jury time. I deserve a jury to present all the evidence in my corner.

DEFENSE EXHIBIT C

Defense Exhibit C – Defense Exhibit C shows Federal Judge Stefan Underhill’s controversial

Decision in my ongoing case against Altice/News 12 for slander and libel. This case is now before the Second Circuit Appeals Court with enhanced and new arguments. The basis of the Decision is about common dictionary definitions. The basis of this Decision is Judge Underhill believing the word “stalk” in the everyday dictionary is not Course of Conduct behavior – 2 or more acts toward someone. Yes the basis of this decision is that the Judge believes that **stalking** can involve a one-time act of approaching “**stealthily**”. The Judge makes mistakes and avoids the real dictionary definitions of **stalking – approaching quarry or prey**, while not even defining “**stealth**” – the root being **to steal** and the behavior as a type of secrecy that involves **hunting, attacking, killing** thus the Stealth Bomber and stealth viruses, etc... Why even Defence feels this Decision gets her client off the hook is complete nonsense for Wendy Higgins Chambers did more Damage than News 12. Unlike News 12 – Wendy Higgins Chambers persisted again and again – a veritable **harasser and stalker** under the proper definitions of **harassment and stalking**.

FACT: Wendy Higgins Chambers (unlike News 12 and Westport News) is involved with both complaints I have and the chief fomenter in both of my complaints with these media companies who as Judge Underhill states in his decision “outright falsely” reported on me. Without Wendy Higgins Chambers slanderous actions there is no “outright false” news reports to litigate against so to clear my name after Due Process of law – my name with no criminal record in relation to these Ct. incidents. Who deserved this insanity from someone with no damages done to her?!!!

Defense Exhibit C – Defense Exhibit C is being appealed and there should be a decision by August 2020. My Appeal Brief has been filed April 10, 2020 and I recommend anyone interested to read this Brief – James Lawrence v. Altice USA case# 20-393, to understand the issues at a hand – linguistic issues from the “not fair” and “outright false” reports on me being “**charged for stalking several women**” with the hyped inclusion of Wendy Higgins Chambers deploying the “**preying on women**” language impacting the community with unproven and un-provable nonsense, let alone all what she did around various towns and various merchants.

DEFENSE EXHIBIT D

Defense Exhibit D – Defense Exhibit D is more of Defence’s attempt to pin their case to my case against News 12 all the while **not addressing the obvious issues of how Wendy Higgins Chambers persisted with her persecution to another media company even after a visit to police who saw her story as not even worth a call to me.** Once again – the more Defence refuses to address this persistence the more we need a jury to sort out the underlying and hidden issues (let alone any proper story to complain about).

FACT: When we met there resulted no complaint to store manager, no complaint to police, no complaint to me despite having my business card for she could have voiced her concerns. It is obvious we have a mentally imbalance person here with Wendy Higgins Chambers whose story belongs in front of a jury of our peers so to understand what made this person do what she did. This zeitgeist of women deploying media for attention free of police actions is not right and quite frankly a national shame that demands proper juries and decisions so future men are not

conflated with life-damaging stories like “stalking” and “harassment” while devoid of actual harassment or stalking charges let alone convictions, resulting in being permanently damaged from women with obviously hidden agendas.

FACT: I AM NOT A CRIMINAL!!! SEE MY CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECK.

FACT: MY ARREST HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH METOO SEX HARASSMENT YET IS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS BEHAVIOR WITHIN INTERNET SEARCH RESULTS BECAUSE OF WENDY HIGGINS CHAMBERS!!!

Defense Exhibit D – Defense Exhibit D then repeats the same information shared in Defence Exhibit A, B, and C.

Defense Exhibit D – Defense Exhibit D also shares a similar case – Baia v Jackson Newspapers – that Altice/News 12 shared and I successfully argued against when Judge Underhill DENIED Altice’s Motion to Dismiss on May 9, 2019 declaring the News 12 report to be “not fair”. Once again – Wendy Higgins Chambers has obvious issues herself free of my lawsuits against News 12/Altice and Westport News/Hearst that demand a jury to sort out.

DEFENSE EXHIBIT E

Defense Exhibit E – Defense Exhibit E shares the Complaint in my federal lawsuit against the Westport News/Hearst Communications. This is my second costly lawsuit against a major media company with News 12 Ct./Altice USA being the first costly Complaint – both fomented by Wendy Higgins Chambers. **Unlike News 12, the defendants in this lawsuit - Hearst Communications/Westport News – did not remove their obvious libel.** Both lawsuits are a result of Wendy Higgins Chambers’ slanderous actions. If not for Wendy Higgins Chambers getting involved with an arrest that she was not apart, I could have ended my legal struggle 2 months after my March 5, 2018 arrest for one count of Second Degree Breach of Peace by paying a NON-CRIMINAL \$90 Infraction fine of the arresting charge and yes – NOT guilty of the arresting charge. This mere Infraction offer on the very first court date back in May of 2018 showed the Prosecution had no case, AND once again showed how dangerous it is to allow busybodies like Wendy Higgins Chambers to recklessly push a dubiously ascertained Arrest Warrant or Police Incident Report before Due Process of Law.

SO ... why did I not accept this non-criminal Infraction and fly back to my new home in Lithuania and save money? Because I was slandered and defamed and in case the police were found to be the guilty party I needed to get a total dismissal to sue the police. So I spent thousands of dollars flying back and forth for 2 years before the outbreak of the Coronavirus in February of 2020. Yes – 2 years of flights every 2 months back to empty court runs that existed in essence to protect police all the while never giving me a speedy trial. If not for Wendy Higgins Chambers, media companies and police would not be possibly sued and all would have

been resolved between myself and a prosecutor and judge and NOT from some self-appointed faux MeToo martyr and outright liar without a justifiable cause getting involved with things she knows nothing about. YES – THIS WENDY HIGGINS CHAMBERS CAUSED UTTER CHAOS FOR ALL.

FACT: The police are not happy with Wendy Higgins Chambers' actions parading the dubiously ascertained not properly redacted Arrest Warrant around the world against their redaction policies and going beyond the Official Police Press Release. Justice would allow a trial by jury so to get police to take the stand and clear up any issues within this case.

See Plaintiff Exhibits C, D.

Defense Exhibit E – Defense Exhibit E shares the Complaint in my federal lawsuit against the Westport News/Hearst Communications. The top issue is being portrayed as “**harassing women**” despite no arrest for any harassing. The fact that the dubiously ascertained Arrest Warrant never deploys **the harassment language** let alone **stalking language**, which are both Course of Conduct behavior that has nothing to do with my past, should be ample evidence to prevail in my cases for the dubiously ascertained Arrest Warrant for the now deemed false arrest for one count of Second Degree Breach of Peace is the primary source for both media companies I am suing. **I was not arrested for stalking or harassment** – both actual laws/statute on the books. I was arrested for one count of Second Degree Breach of Peace and the Arrest Warrant for this March 5, 2018 arrest does not deploy the **harassment or stalking language**. Once again, this is my second costly lawsuit against a major media company with News 12 Ct./Altice USA being the first. Unlike News 12, the defendants in this lawsuit – the Westport News – did not remove their obvious libel. This case should have a result by early 2021 **and I recommend reading the Briefs filed in this federal case James Lawrence v. Hearst Communications for more clarity**. Both lawsuits are a result of Wendy Higgins Chambers' **extreme, persistent, and malicious** slanderous actions. She should have learned about the dangers of persecuting someone without known facts after her way beyond normal visit to News 12 Ct. of all people. **But no she did not learn or care to learn** because she was too malicious and drunk with the sick zeitgeist of women attempting to persecute men in the media before Due Process of Law, easily finding some feminist hack writer to push their mere feelings outside of proper police investigations. **Wendy Higgins Chambers persisted knowing I was already harmed. She looked for more ways to harm me and this lawsuit against Westport News/Hearst – Defense Exhibit E - is amongst other things more obvious proof of her extreme, persistent, and malicious agenda.**

DEFENSE EXHIBIT F

Defense Exhibit F – Defense Exhibit F is the pathetic April 10, 2020 Affidavit of Wendy Higgins Chambers. This will not take long for her Affidavit is a few sentences.

Affidavit Quotes:

5: I was approached by James Lawrence inside and outside of a supermarket in Westport in approximately January 2018 around 7:30 PM. After I disengaged in conversation with him twice

inside the store, he pulled up in front of my car in a dark parking lot, jumped out and came quickly towards me. I saw him again at a supermarket in Westport a week or so later.

She lies. We talked for a certain time. We had a conversation all the way up to checking out at the same time. This is hardly disengaging. She stood in line with me and I checked out before her. YES we were also in the same check out line while still having a conversation – hardly disengaging. Utter self-serving nonsense from this woman without a cause. I attempted to get video from the supermarket but they do not hold on to tapes that long and will continue to see if video can be found. I gave her my business card so to not be mysterious or threatening as a friendly gesture. There is more to this as can be proved when I get her on the stand.

6: The encounters with Mr. Lawrence frightened me and made me very uncomfortable.

So “uncomfortable” she complained to no one especially me, store manager, or police. And since when is “uncomfortable” a crime worthy of media persecution being associated with hideous crimes of stalking and harassment despite there being no stalking or harassment arrests!

7: After seeing a story in the news in or about March 2018, a story about Plaintiff’s arrest for similar conduct at the Fresh Market in Westport, I called the Westport Police to report that I had similar encounters with the Plaintiff.

So similar that police did nothing with her complaint. This “*similar conduct*” generalizing is more proof for the need of a jury to sort out obvious ambiguities. **AND Notice how we do not have a date on that call to police or any details of that call or visit to police. She is obviously afraid to give the facts. I however have the necessary details – March 15, 2018 is when she went to police who did nothing with her complaint, BEFORE her persistence with other media and around towns.**

8: After seeing that news story, I also communicated with the local news media about the similar encounters I had with Mr. Lawrence, in an effort to let other women know about the risk and to help keep women in my community safe. I requested my name be kept anonymous.

Once again – who the hell does this? SHAMELESS. Who goes to the most powerful news organization in the area – News 12 Ct. the only cable TV network for the area and persecute me over a supermarket encounter that caused no harm? Are there not better issues for her to occupy her time with and are not the police her best way to investigate further actions? Since no woman has ever been harmed and I was never ever arrested before over these matters what are these “risks” she is talking about. Utter crazy snowflake wannabe victims. We hear of cases of the self-victimization culture and this is a clear example of that self-victimization culture. She should have left things alone after a call to the police. She **persisted** after knowing News 12 damaged me when they forever took down as Judge Underhill wrote their “*not fair*” and “*outright false*” news coverage of me.

AND NOTICE HOW SHE DOES NOT MENTION THE VARIOUS ESTABLISHMENTS SHE VISITED PARADING THE WESTPORT NEWS ARTICLE AND ARREST WARRANT

AROUND VARIOUS TOWNS. AND NOTICE HOW SHE DOES NOT ADMIT IT WAS HER WHO ASCERTAINED THE ARREST WARRANT AGAINST POLICE REDACTION POLICIES.

If her story were this short and simple you would think there would be no fuss and no damages. This less than one page Affidavit statement about a 10-15 minute supermarket encounter avoids details and belongs in front of a jury of our peers for there are far too many remaining questions. A lot can be said in 10 minutes and this simple unscientific Affidavit devoid of police action deserves to be challenged in front of a jury. My Damages do not lie. My Damages are real and deserve to be heard in detail unlike this pathetic Affidavit statement. There is no doubt there are clear examples of the disturbing rise of self-victimization issues at play here.

Victim playing

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

"*Playing the Victim*" redirects here. For the Russian film, see *Playing the Victim (film)*.

Victim playing (also known as **playing the victim**, **victim card**, or **self-victimization**) is the fabrication of victimhood for a variety of reasons such as to justify **abuse** of others, to **manipulate** others, a **coping strategy**, or **attention seeking**.

Victim feminism

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia



This article **relies too much on references to primary sources**. Please improve this by adding **secondary or tertiary** sources. *(Learn how and when to remove this template message)*

Victim feminism is a term used by some **liberal** and **libertarian feminists** in the 1990s to contrast their conceptions of feminism with other feminists who they view as reinforcing the idea that women are weak or lacking in agency, and therefore need to be protected.^[1] Amongst sociologists, it has come more into use to describe a similar manifestation of **feminism in the 2010s**, particularly on college campuses in the US, part of a rising moral "culture of victimhood", as opposed to other dominant moral cultures like the "culture of honor" and the "culture of dignity".^[2]

The Rise of Victimhood Culture

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

The Rise of Victimhood Culture: Microaggressions, Safe Spaces, and the New Culture Wars, is a 2018 book by sociologists **Bradley Campbell** and **Jason Manning**.

The book began as an academic journal article, *Microaggression and Moral Cultures*.

Contents [hide]
1 History
2 Thesis
2.1 Contested term
3 References

The Rise of Victimhood Culture: Microaggressions, Safe Spaces, and the New Culture Wars

Authors	Bradley Campbell and Jason Manning
Language	English
Publisher	Palgrave Macmillan
Publication date	2018
ISBN	978-3-319-70328-2 (print edition)

History [edit]

The book began as a scholarly paper entitled *Microaggression and Moral Cultures* published in the journal *Comparative Sociology* in 2014.^{[1][2][3]}

Campbell and Manning argue that accusations of **microaggression** focus on unintentional slights, unlike the **Civil rights movement**, which focused on concrete injustices. They argue that the purpose of calling attention to microaggressions is less to elevate the status of offended victim. "When the victims publicize microaggressions," wrote Campbell and Manning "they call attention to what they see as the deviant behavior of the offenders. In doing so," they "also call attention to their own victimization." They do this because it lowers "the offender's moral status" and "raises the moral status of the victims."^{[1][4][5]}

YES 2018 - THIS IS A CURRENT REALITY - ZEITGEIST 2018.
A JURY OF OUR PEERS FOR FAIR AND BALANCED TREATMENT IS IN ORDER.

DEFENSE EXHIBIT G

Defense Exhibit G – Defense Exhibit G is some reference to what Defense feels is a case precedent that would allow for Wendy Higgins Chambers’ **extreme, persistent, and malicious** actions. I will not engage in these case debates because they are (like in my case with Altice News 12) not relevant given the issues of this time. I ask for a jury of our peers so to resolve all the obvious issues with this new phenomenon/zeitgeist of women deploying media and resolve the obvious ambiguities. The MeToo madness that weaponized impressionable women like Wendy Higgins Chambers is all but 4 years old. There is absolutely no proper precedent to deal with all the issues of this worst gender war in not only the human history but of all species – a truly freakish time we live in today. I am a victim of this contemporary social media zeitgeist where movements can become viral and simple two finger communications on idiotic I-phones can set unscientific narratives, conflate issues, and allow for persecutions unlike any other time.

This case belongs in front of a jury free of referring to old and stale cases of the past that do not effectively address the current zeitgeist – Internet media + extreme feminism and the women’s marches looking to attack men. This case belongs in front of a jury of our peers with proper media coverage to show these new problems of the current zeitgeist that give women carte blanche treatment to deploy the media unlike any other time, and this is a case that should seek to establish proper rules so that women learn to know there are limits to their self-appointed martyr freedoms, that you need to have facts of material you deploy on others, and that if you are proven to be a liar pushing extreme lies all the while knowing you are further damaging someone beyond repair (in the age of the Internet and before Due Process of Law let alone after Due Process of Law) then there will be consequences.

BEGINNING CONCLUSIONS

FACT: I AM A VICTIM. I am NOT GUILTY of the sole arresting charge that started this nonsense and NEVER have been guilty of these hyped accusations so if I am not responsible who is responsible for the obviously extreme Damages? SOMEONE SHOULD HAVE TO ANSWER TO THIS EXTREME AND PERSISTENT PERSECUTION. News 12 took down their “outright false” coverage and my lawsuit against them continues for the next year. As of this year Feb. 2020 the Westport News are sued for their libellous intransigence that should have a result in 2021. The Westport Police will soon hear from me on certain controversial matters and obvious mistakes, **but none of these costly lawsuits would have happened if not for the persistent actions of Wendy Higgins Chambers. The facts are this extreme persecution would never have happened at all if Wendy Higgins Chambers did not get involved AND PERSISTENTLY DEPLOY MULTIPLE MEDIA INFECTING THE WORLD AT LARGE IN THE AGE OF THE INTERNET AS WELL AS VISIT VARIOUS BUSINESS OWNERS IN MULTIPLE LOCATIONS. IN FACT - ALL COSTLY LAWSUITS OF MINE – 2 MEDIA COMPANIES, CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS, AND EVEN POTENTIALLY THE POLICE WOULD NOT HAVE HAPPENED IF WENDY HIGGINS CHAMBERS OPTED TO DO WHAT ANY OTHER NORMAL HUMAN BEING WOULD HAVE DONE - CALLED OR VISITED POLICE, ALLOWED THEM TO DRAW THE CONCLUSIONS AFTER INVESTIGATION AND RESPECTED PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE AND ALLOWED FOR DUE PROCESS.** Anyone who thinks any men are not victims from the extreme and biased zeitgeist are not in tune with reality for the Damages at Complaint speak for themselves.

Mobbing

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

This article is about mobbing in relation to human bullying behaviour. For mobbing as an antipredatory animal behaviour, see [Mobbing \(animal behavior\)](#). For mobbing as a crime in Scots law, see [Mobbing \(Scots law\)](#). For the software development technique, see [Mob programming](#).

Mobbing, as a sociological term, means **bullying** of an individual by a group, in any context, such as a [family](#), [peer group](#), [school](#), [workplace](#), [neighborhood](#), [community](#), or online.

When it occurs as physical and [emotional abuse](#) in the workplace, such as "ganging up" by co-workers, [subordinates](#) or [superiors](#), to force someone out of the workplace through [rumor](#), [innuendo](#), [intimidation](#), [humiliation](#), [discrediting](#), and [isolation](#), it is also referred to as malicious, nonsexual, non-racial/racial, general harassment.^[1]

This particular woman Wendy Higgins Chambers by playing detective on her own and persistently approaching media and establishments after her visit to police is a sure example of a kind of harassment. Her actions caused easily proven hyped and dangerous situations for me to this day and in the foreseeable future that in all actuality are certain kinds of threats against my life. I saw this person once and had a peaceful conversation resulting in no harm and not even a complaint. She is apparently watching me even following me in a market as to my whether I buy merchandise and prying into what should have been according to 99% of the arrests made - private legal matters without some busybody controversially/dubiously fetching a warrant and persistently pushing it to the top media outlets in the area for the world to see in this Internet Age and parading it around multiple towns before Due Process of Law and after Due Process of Law. Given my clean record with the issues at hand (proven behavior), this case most certainly belongs in front of a jury of our peers in this most troubled gender warring time unlike any other time in all history that should certainly find Wendy Higgins Chambers guilty of multiple acts of slander and responsible for all the Damages maliciously done to me unlike no other entity. Given my life has absolutely nothing to do with MeToo, James Lawrence v. Wendy Higgins Chambers is a necessary and important case for the country.

NOTE: Why I refer to Wendy Higgins Chambers as “Wendy Higgins Chambers”.

The name "Wendy " is written to be from the Germanic words for "wander".

Meaning of the Name Wendy: First appearing in the late 1800s, the meaning of the name Wendy is “to wander” or “wanderer.” Etymologists believe that Scottish playwright Sir James Matthew Barrie coined the name for a friend.



namemeaningsonline.com › Female ▾

[Meaning of the name Wendy - NameMeaningsOnline.com](#)

German: wendig - manoeuverable; slippery

wenden - to turn

Dutch: wending - a turn, a twist

English: Wander - [fr. German wend to turn]

- 1: to ramble without a definite purpose or objective; roam, rove, or stray
- 2: to go aimlessly, indirectly, or casually; meander
- 3: to extend in an irregular course or direction.
- 4: to move, pass, or turn idly, as the hand or the eyes.
- 5: (of the mind, thoughts, desires, etc.) to take direction without conscious intent or control
- 6: to stray from a path, place, companions, etc.
- 7: to deviate in conduct, belief, etc.; err; go astray
- 8: to think or speak confusedly or incoherently

The name Higgins is an Irish Anglicized form of the Gaelic Ó huiginn, descendant of Uiginn, a byname meaning ‘viking’, ‘sea-rover’, ‘robber’.



Higgins Family History

14-Day Free Trial
[GET STARTED](#)

Higgins Name Meaning

Irish: Anglicized form of Gaelic Ó hUiginn ‘descendant of Uiginn’, a byname meaning ‘viking’, ‘sea-rover’ (from Old Norse víkingr). Irish: variant of Hagan. English: patronymic from the medieval personal name Higgin, a pet form of Hick.

Source: Dictionary of American Family Names ©2013, Oxford University Press

Similar surnames: Kiggins, O'higgins, Heggins, Higginson, Huggins, Miggins, Wiggins

The following words are related.

Old English: Onhigian – to attack, despoil

Gaelic: Ùigean – wanderer, a fugitive

Yes – “Wendy Higgins” - ‘wander’ and “attack’

ancestry TREES SEARCH DNA HEALTH HELP EXTRAS

Chambers Family History

14-Day Free Trial
GET STARTED

Chambers Name Meaning

English: occupational name for someone who was employed in the private living quarters of his master, rather than in the public halls of the manor. The name represents a genitive or plural form of Middle English cha(u)mbr ‘chamber’, ‘room’ (Latin camera), and is synonymous in origin with Chamberlain, but as that office rose in the social scale, this term remained reserved for more humble servants of the bedchamber.

Source: Dictionary of American Family Names ©2013, Oxford University Press

”Chambers” - ‘private living’.

Wendy Higgins Chambers **wandered** into **legal matters** that were not her business beyond her comprehension and according to police meant for redaction aka private, **and persistently attacked me knowing I was already damaged from her prior actions with News 12**. She was never harmed and chose to **persistently, extremely, and maliciously persecute** me around towns and the world at large before and after Due Process of Law without any kind of probable cause I committed any crime. **She is the only woman who did this**. Her “concerns for other women” at markets were already addressed by the police with the arrest for One Count of Second Degree Breach of Peace and should have been left to prosecutor, judge, witnesses, and potential jury and any further accusations deserved to be investigated by police themselves to establish if there was a need for another arrest let alone special multiple hit jobs (PLURAL) news stories in the age of the Internet outside of the proper news for the arresting charge in tune with the Official Police Press Release and Police Redaction Policies. Having no prior arrests let alone convictions, I deserved some kind of Presumption of Innocence, respect for Due Process of Law, respect for past Due Processes of Law, and overall fair play for to this day there are no sworn written statements about supermarket run ins with me and statute of limitations is in effect. Wendy Higgins Chambers is the only woman who feels the need to press and harass me beyond normal processes for she has literally caused (made) 2 federal cases over this sole arrest that was so trivial and devoid of evidence that prosecutors could not even come close to produce a guilty result. This is truly a sick and unfair time we are in, and it is high time a case with such obvious and gross examples of being conflated with the MeToo sex harassment zeitgeist let alone gross examples of persistent persecution free of harms done to them be given all the media attention it deserves so to protect future men from the insanity I experienced. Who was damaged more – any woman at a market who mysteriously never gave their name and press charges or was it in fact me? **Who was harmed more - Is it Wendy Higgins Chambers or I?**

It is time to proceed to a proper analysis of the ongoing Damages and a jury of our peers.