

MOTION FOR SPEEDY TRIAL

Docket #: S20N-CR19-0147994-S

DATE: May 13, 2021

I, Pro Se Defendant James Lawrence was sent another letter delaying my scheduled Court Date of May 27, 2021 for Sept. 16, 2021 – 4 MONTHS DELAY THIS TIME. During Covid the delays were for every 2 months and now as the country is opening to full capacity, I get this 4-month delay? This will be the 7th delay since January 2020 allegedly due to Covid-19. The false Arrest was Feb. 6, 2019. **FACT: THE LAST TIME I SAW A COURT WAS JANUARY 2020- 1 ½ AGO.**

At a March 2021 court date to Modify Protection Order I got a brief opportunity to explain the fiasco to **Judge Randolph, who noticing that this case is nearly 3 years old without even an offer, instructed the newest prosecutor (6th prosecutor I have delt with) to talk to me and give me an offer. I proceeded to ask the prosecutor if she has read the Jan. 2020 seized Emails from Google to which this latest green horn prosecutor said “no” (Suzanne Vieux has avoided any part in the case so since May 2019). These are SIGNIFICANT Emails seized 15 months after the crooked warrant was submitted in October 2018 thereby showing Officer Mark Grasso (formerly of Westport for he has since relocated to a job that pays \$75,000 mysteriously leaving his plush \$134,000 a year job with the Westport Police) NEVER had Probable Cause. These are Emails I could have quashed but graciously allowed to be seized so to end this nonsense. I showed D.A. Suzanne Vieux a doctored Email that was submitted as “Discovery” back in May of 2019. The Emails were seized in Jan. 2020, thus this Norwalk prosecutor department has had 1 ½ years to review what amounts to no more than 15 minutes of reading (easily done in Covid times from home) and to act in tune with the facts of the case let alone the law.**

SOMEONE IS AT LARGE – police officer or complainant for nearly 3 years for breaking the law – doctoring an Email so to hide significant information.

- WHO PERPETRATED/SUBMITTED THIS FRAUD?
- WHAT IS THE RESULT OF D.A SUZANNE VIEUX’S NECESSARY 2 YEAR INVESTIGATION?
- WHY HAS THERE BEEN NO LAWFUL ACTION FOR 2 YEARS?
- WHY IS A PRO SE DEFENDANT BEING DENIED FAIR DUE PROCESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ESTABLISHED FACTS NOW FULLY KNOWN SINCE THE JAN. 2020 SEIZURE OF GOOGLE EMAILS?
- Where are ALL THE NECESSARY EMAILS of this Sept. 15, 2018 date IN TUNE WITH Lorraine v. Markel Am. Ins. Co electronic evidence precedent.

ONCE AGAIN – “DISCOVERY” NOT ONLY OMITTED NECESSARY EMAIL CHAINS BUT SOMEONE DOCTORED/ GUTTED CONTENTS OF AN EMAIL - **THE DOCTORED/GUTTED/FRAUD EMAIL**

APRIL 2019 SUBMISSION OF DOCTORED INAUTHENTIC EMAIL HANDED TO ME AS PART OF “DISCOVERY” AKA PERJURY:

On Sat, Sep 15, 2018, 6:35 PM James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com> wrote: ?

CRYSTAL ...
THIS COULD HVE WAITED.
AND YOU COULD HAVE INFORMED ME OF THE FUCKING "POLICEMAN" WHEN IT HAPPENED.
I DO NOT KNOW WHAT TO BELIEVE WITH YOU.

On Sun, Sep 16, 2018 at 12:33 AM A. P. C. <allebpmac@gmail.com> wrote:
Fine. Don't contact me again or come by the apartment while I am here. I leave on Sept 30. I never want to see you again. Understand? Clear???

doctored - omits contents gutted middle of email

AND THE AUTHENTIC EMAIL (I HAVE HAD ALL EMAILS COPIED):

James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com> Sat, Sep 15, 2018 at 6:35 PM
To: "A. P. C." <allebpmac@gmail.com>

CRYSTAL
I DO CARE ABOUT YOUR HEALTH.
I DO NOT APPRECIATE YOUR JIBES AT ME ALL DAY.
ACCUSATIONS.
UNFOUNDED.
COMPLETELY UNCALLED FOR.
THIS COULD HVE WAITED.
AND YOU COULD HAVE INFORMED ME OF THE FUCKING "POLICEMAN" WHEN IT HAPPENED.
I DO NOT KNOW WHAT TO BELIEVE WITH YOU.
[Quoted text hidden]

omitted

...

WHO DID THIS? WHO BROKE THE LAW YOUR HONOR?
D.A. SUZANNE VIEUX KNOWS NOW AFTER 2 YEARS AND SHOULD BE ACTING IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAW.

YES I WAS THE ONE BEING VERITABLY HARASSED AND COMPLAINANT AND/OR OFFICER GRASSO ATTEMPTED TO CONCEAL ALL THE SEPT. 15, 2018 EMAILS THAT SHOWS THE HARASSMENT. I have been very transparent and giving so to resolve this in the way it deserves. THIS NEXT SCHEDULED COURT DATE WOULD BE THE 22nd TIME I WOULD COME TO COURT WHICH INCLUDES VARIOUS MOTIONS I MADE AT MOTION CALENDAR EVENTS. **I have tried to get proper action so that my civil suits against media are not stained for no Federal Judge will give me a break seeing this unresolved one-time and only “harassment” charge still pending.**

My first civil suit was against News 12 Connecticut/Altice for portraying my Second Degree Breach of Peace arrest as “stalking” devoid of any stalking arrest. News 12 took down their 1-day coverage of me after my lawyer made a call to them back in March of 2018. My second civil suit is against The Westport News/Hearst Communications for portraying the same now non-criminally resolved one count Second Degree Breach of Peace arrest as “harassing”. Both media reports attempted to portray me – someone never arrested before and still with a clean Ct. record – as some kind of “harasser” of women at grocery stores despite no evidence at all – no names of women, no quotes from any woman deploying this language, no Sworn Written Statements, and given the public setting of a market wired inside and out - not one video recording of any “harassment”. This disgusting agenda-driven company Westport News/Hearst has doubled-down and has yet to take their unproven and unprovable material down. **I am scheduled to submit very significant Briefs this July and it is time the Norwalk court system do its job and that means an adult in the room to press the prosecution in a fair manner on what the prosecution has discovered from the 1 1/2 year old seized Emails.**

The prosecution obviously does not care about my civil suits against the media. These civil suits proceeded without delay after I waited the maximum 2 years to file these civil suits. WHY ARE NOT CRIMINAL COURTS HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR UNREASONABLE DELAYS LET ALONE STONE WALLING TACTICS THAT SABOTAGE OTHER FORMS OF DUE PROCESS? I experienced unreasonable stonewalling from the first arrest by never being granted a trial. I did not want to settle this case and was awaiting a trial but my lawyer told me the prosecution would never would go to trial not having a witness, Sworn Written Statement, and no evidence in any way and that the case should be dropped within a couple years. Well ... I waited 2 years and Feb. 2020 was the beginning of Covid-19. If I did not make the decision to pay the \$90 fine I would still be stuck in this insane system with the first false arrest along with the second false arrest at hand – alleged Email Harassment devoid of harassing emails under the law. I made the decision to be proactive and resolve via a non-criminal Infraction so my civil suits were not tarnished with pending criminal cases. Imagine if I did not take this action ... for federal judges would be attempting to decide on a case of a media organization portraying me as stalking or harassing (devoid of any such type of arrest) with 2 criminal cases (Second Degree Breach of Peace and then Email Harassment) still pending. I CANNOT CHANGE THE TIME RULES FOR FILING CIVIL CASES AND I CANNOT ASK FEDERAL JUDGES TO DELAY PROCEEDINGS BECAUSE D.A. SUZANNE VIEUX WANTS TO MESS WITH MY LIFE AND CONVER UP POLICE MISCONDUCT AND EMAIL COMPLAINANT'S NUMEOUS FORMS OF FALSE STATEMENTS AND PERJURY.

Recently for my civil suits I deposed the arresting officer from the first false arrest narrative that was resolved in a \$90 fine non-criminal way Feb. 2020. In this Sworn Deposition the warrant writing officer from the one count Second Degree Breach of Peace arrest is recorded as saying he not only never arrested me for stalking or harassment but never thought of using these Course of Conduct words for his arrest warrant because he never did use these inflammatory words. Due Process of law like Depositions are running smoothly in the time of Covid with my federal cases. **It is time for a Judge to make the prosecutor verify that they have the seized Emails in their possession, read them, and have verified or attempted to verify the doctored Email aka PERJURY by either Complainant or the arresting officer. This was a simple investigation that could and should have been done back in May 2019. Someone is responsible for perjury/spoliation of evidence being at large for nearly 3 years.**

It is yet to be established if the doctored Email was from the Complainant Anna Paige Campbell or the Arresting Officer Mark Grasso. At the same time, my effort to bring this Officer Grasso before an honest Franks Hearing to answer for his crooked warrant was stonewalled by Prosecutor Vieux in ways that had her committing 2 Brady Rule violations to which I have yet to present to the court but am ready to do as well if this fiasco is to continue. **It is time these Jan. 2020 seized Emails be finally be discussed**, seized Emails that were approved by Judge Wenzel who himself should be part of verifying if the prosecution has the seized Emails. There can be no discussion until prosecution is on the record affirming the read the seized Emails.

IN ADDITION since what was handed over to me as “Discovery” back in April 2019 was fraud, I should be handed proper Discovery ASAP or this case DISMISSED ASAP. There are laws about time limits for basic Discovery to be handed over.

FACT: I was given 7 pages of Emails with the first 6 pages being completely irrelevant.

FACT: There are 200+ Emails from the seized Emails. WHERE ARE THEY?

Waiting until September 2021 would mark 3 years to the date of the Sept. 18, 2018 Email in question and this is not fair and an utter disgrace to proper Due Process given the abilities to resolve this case even in the times of Covid.

After waiting patiently again and again for the court to do what is inevitable – complete Dismissal given the numerous ways Prosecutor KNOWS that Complainant has committed multiple acts of False Statements and Perjury, **I file this Motion for A SPEEDY TRIAL for the soonest court date - MAY 27, 2021 as planned, or June 2021 - given I deserve a Final Disposition for my Federal Civil Cases against the media to proceed fairly let alone proper Due Process of Law. My Civil Cases have proceeded without major delays. Multiple Federal Judges ARE WAITING. I plan to submit an Exhibit in my civil case as to how I am not getting Due Process in criminal court and will also submit any Judge's decisions to explain the delays.**

The fact is Email Complainant Anna Paige Campbell has been at large since Sept. 25, 2018 for lying to the police and possibly being the one who submitted a doctored Email. I have asked the Prosecutor to reach out to this woman as well so to get facts straight. I have even reached out to the Police themselves to do their job and arrest this Complainant but have yet to see any action now leading me to think it was departed officer responsible for doctoring the evidence. **ALL IN ALL this is a matter that should concern stewards of law - prosecutors and judges.**

In January of 2020, the Search and Seizure Warrant for Google to hand over my Emails with Complainant from July 2018 to September 2018 (yes a warrant ASKING FOR MY EMAILS not Complainants WAS 15 MONTHS AFTER POLICE WERE SUPPOSED TO HAVE HAD PROBABLE CAUSE FOR THEIR OCTOBER 2018 WARRANT based on the one Sept. 18, 2018 Email. Yes – this case is about one Email that reads from insane warrant:

9. That on On 09/18/2018, V-1 forwarded an email chain of communication between her and the accused. V-1 indicates that this communication was directly as a result of basement doors at the home being locked. The message from the accused from the email address jameslarenaissance@gmail.com states, "Anna Advice - do not cause my beloved 77 year old parents any stress whatsoever. Take this advice." The complainant replied to this communication stating, "What was not Crystal Clear to you in me writing ""do not contact me again"" FOR ANY REASON? KEEP THIS UP AND I WILL CONTACT THE POLICE. LEAVE ME ALONE!!!!!"

An undisturbed case file should show my numerous Motions including 2 Motions for Speedy Trials. The case file should also show myself Pro Se Defendant James Lawrence giving numerous forms of evidence so the Prosecutor would do her job. **A recent January 2021 Email correspondence with Prosecutor Suzanne Vieux has me attempting to establish if she has finally read all the Emails THAT WERE SEIZED 1 ½ YEAR AGO to not only re-confirm what I showed her in May 2019 about a doctored Email but also to easily discover the other numerous ways this Complainant committed False Statements. In this January 2021 saved Email correspondence, Prosecutor Vieux avoids the question as to whether she has the seized Emails from the police in her possession. THIS PROSECUTOR HAS HAD 1 ½ YEAR TO READ WHAT AMOUNTS TO BE 15 MINUTES OF EMAILS TO UNDERSTAND DETAILS. EMAILS THAT ARE NOT HARASSING BUT ESTABLISH WHAT WAS GOING ON BEFORE THE COMPLAINT FOR WHAT WAS HANDED OVER AS "DISCOVERY" AVOIDED NOT ONLY THE NECESSARY CHAIN OF SEPT. 15, 2018 EMAILS THAT IS RELATIVE TO ARREST BUT OTHER ALARMING CROOKED ACTIONS.**

In all reality, the Prosecutor has known for 2 years and counting that this case was crooked yet she is attempting to cover up something. What should alarm the court is that her actions would go so far as to allow a woman (fellow woman) to remain free for nearly 3 years knowing of the perjury.

I respectfully ask the Court to do its job and have me before the Court for a Final Disposition. If the Prosecutor cannot end the game playing with a man 's life and wants to go to trial I want this Prosecutor present guaranteeing on the record that this much long desired trial will happen so I can expose all the corruption. This Prosecutor has had plenty of time to know if she has a case. The cover ups stop now. DUE PROCESS IS IN ORDER.

James Lawrence
1655 Post Road East - Unit 804
Westport Ct. 06880
Email: [REDACTED]

MOTION FOR SPEEDY TRIAL

Docket #: S20N-CR19-0147994-S

Submitted date: May 13, 2021

MAY 24, 2021 ADDITION TO THE MOTION

SOMONE – EITHER COMPLAINANT ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL OR ARRESTING OFFICER MARK GRASSO IS GUILTY OF THE FOLLOWING FELONY:

2018 Connecticut General Statutes

Title 53a - Penal Code

Chapter 952 - Penal Code: Offenses

Section 53a-155 - Tampering with or fabricating physical evidence: Class D felony.

Universal Citation: CT Gen Stat § 53a-155 (2018)

(a) A person is guilty of tampering with or fabricating physical evidence if, believing that a criminal investigation conducted by a law enforcement agency or an official proceeding is pending, or about to be instituted, such person: (1) Alters, destroys, conceals or removes any record, document or thing with purpose to impair its verity or availability in such criminal investigation or official proceeding; or (2) makes, presents or uses any record, document or thing knowing it to be false and with purpose to mislead a public servant who is or may be engaged in such criminal investigation or official proceeding.

(b) Tampering with or fabricating physical evidence is a class D felony.

ONCE AGAIN – this has been known since the May of 2019 in some way by prosecution and easily confirmed since the Jan. 2020 seizure of authentic emails from Google authorized by Judge Wenzel. SOMEONE IS AT LARGE FOR NEARLY 3 YEARS!!!

THE DEFENDANT
JAMES LAWRENCE

BY:



Pro Se