

S20NCR190147994S : SUPERIOR COURT  
STATE OF CONNECTICUT : JD OF STAMFORD/NORWALK  
v. : AT STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT  
JAMES LAWRENCE : OCTOBER 1, 2021

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING

EXCERPT

BEFORE THE HONORABLE GARY WHITE, JUDGE  
AND JURY

A P P E A R A N C E S:

Representing the State of Connecticut:

ATTORNEY LAURENCE TAMACCIO  
OFFICE OF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY  
123 Hoyt Street  
Stamford, Connecticut 06905

Representing the Defendant:

JAMES LAWRENCE  
Self-represented

Recorded and Transcribed By:

Tara McCloud  
Court Recording Monitor  
123 Hoyt Street  
Stamford, Connecticut, 06902

Judge Gary White  
D.A. Larry Tamaccio  
James Lawrence

1 THE COURT: Now the matter is down, so Mr.  
2 Lawrence can make an offer of proof regarding, I  
3 think it was about four potential witnesses --

4 ATTY. TAMACCIO: That's correct, sir.

5 THE COURT: -- with defense. I think two of  
6 them were tenants in some building.

7 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, sir.

8 THE COURT: And two of them were his parents,  
9 his mother and father, and I think that's all we're  
10 here to talk about, is that correct.

11 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, though before we do that  
12 if I may, just a few brief points.

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 ATTY. TAMACCIO: I left for Your Honor on the  
15 bench; I filed with the clerk and given a copy to Mr.  
16 Lawrence of an updated witness list for the State.  
17 Having reviewed the evidence since we begin voir  
18 dire, I paired down my witness list.

19 As Mr. Lawrence is self-represented, I'm trying  
20 to play with my cards face up so there are no  
21 surprises here. I only intend to call Office Mark  
22 Grasso and the complainant Ms. Campbell.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 ATTY. TAMACCIO: I provided that document to Mr.  
25 Lawrence and to the court. I also wanted to bring up  
26 Judge, Mr. Lawrence brought it up this morning as  
27 well, I'm sure he has a comment, I intended to

1 mention this that our initial preliminary, the State  
2 is aware that Mr. Lawrence does have a very dated  
3 conviction. I want to put it out there.

4 THE COURT: Felony conviction.

5 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Affirmatively. That's  
6 correct; it's a felony conviction out of California  
7 1995. The State has zero interest in bringing that  
8 in. But, again, Mr. Lawrence is self-represented; I  
9 just want to put that on the record, that the State  
10 is not seeking to introduce any evidence of that  
11 whatsoever.

12 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Lawrence, just for  
13 your benefit, often when somebody has a felony record  
14 that felony record could be used to impeach that  
15 person's credibility if he takes the witness stand.

16 But if it's ten years old or older, a lot of  
17 times courts don't allow that information in because  
18 it's not of great value in assessing somebody's  
19 credibility.

20 And Mr. Tamaccio said says he does intend to  
21 mention your felony record on account for you know --  
22 I'm not going to say anything about it and,  
23 obviously, you don't have to say anything about it,  
24 if you do say something about it, that's on you but  
25 do you understand what I'm telling you?

26 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes.

27 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

1 MR. LAWRENCE: Can I speak?

2 THE COURT: Yes, you can.

3 MR. LAWRENCE: I have great news. Okay, so I  
4 really want to make things as easy as possible. I do  
5 appreciate the scale down witness list, but I do  
6 intend on trying to call Officer Dobson and Precioso  
7 that were on his list.

8 So I'm going to try to get them to show up to.  
9 Does that mean that I have to issue a subpoena for  
10 these two?

11 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, while they were on  
12 the State's initial --

13 THE COURT: Give me your amended witness list  
14 for Mr. Lawrence, please.

15 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes. While they were -- while  
16 Lieutenant Precioso and Sergeant Dobson were on the  
17 State's initial witness list along with all the other  
18 officers who were even tangentially involved,  
19 neither, actually, had any substantive investigative  
20 role in this case. In fact --

21 THE COURT: Okay, before we go on -- and I'll  
22 give you a chance -- Officer Dobson you said?

23 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes.

24 THE COURT: And who was the other one?

25 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Lieutenant Precioso, sir.

26 THE COURT: Lieutenant --

27 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Precioso, P-r-e-

1 THE COURT: Okay, I don't -- is his name on this  
2 amended list?

3 THE CLERK: No.

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 ATTY. TAMACCIO: His name was on my initial  
6 list, Judge.

7 THE COURT: Precioso, Lieutenant. Okay, why do  
8 you want to call -- what is Office Dobson going to  
9 testify to?

10 MR. LAWRENCE: Officer Dobson's the one who  
11 approve the crooked arrest warrant, okay. And so  
12 basically what you have here is -- all right, I won't  
13 say crooked, but it is crooked. I'm trying to be  
14 (Indiscernible).

15 Officer Dobson is the one who approved Officer  
16 Grasso's warrant at the time, okay. And it's very --

17 THE COURT: Officer Dobson you said approved the  
18 arrest warrant in this case?

19 MR. LAWRENCE: Arrest warrant, yes, and I have a  
20 lot of questions --

21 THE COURT: When you say he approved it --

22 MR. LAWRENCE: She.

23 THE COURT: When you say she approved it, was  
24 she one of the (Indiscernible) or --

25 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes, she was -- she signs -- she,  
26 yes, she was (Indiscernible).

27 THE COURT: Okay. Can I see the arrest warrant,

1 by the way?

2 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead I'm listening to you.

4 MR. LAWRENCE: Right. And so I have some  
5 questions because of the very backwards nature of  
6 this case, Your Honor, the fact that my emails were  
7 seized.

8 THE COURT: Let me -- let me ask you this --  
9 okay, go ahead, continue.

10 MR. LAWRENCE: The fact that my emails were  
11 seized a full fifteen months after the arrest  
12 warrant, okay, which I did not quash. And the fact  
13 that these emails -- after the seizure in February of  
14 2020 were never handed over to the prosecution until  
15 I compelled them this summer in July, okay.

16 And so, obviously, I -- my opinion --

17 THE COURT: Just tell me specifically what  
18 Officer --

19 MR. LAWRENCE: Specifically, Ms. Dobson, I would  
20 like to know exact --

21 THE COURT: Wait, let me finish. Tell me  
22 specifically what Officer Dobson is going to testify  
23 about when and if she takes the witness stand.

24 MR. LAWRENCE: Officer Grasso --

25 THE COURT: No, talk about Office Dobson.

26 MR. LAWRENCE: Right. Yes --

27 THE COURT: We're going to go one at a time.

1 MR. LAWRENCE: I want to know exactly what  
2 Officer Grasso brought to her in the form of  
3 discovery because what was handed over as discovery  
4 in May of 2019 after violating three deadlines was  
5 laughable, hence why they had to seize my emails.

6 So I would like to know --

7 THE COURT: So you want to explore the validity  
8 of the arrest warrant. Is that essentially what you  
9 want to do through Officer Dobson?

10 MR. LAWRENCE: It's a combination because the  
11 arrest --

12 THE COURT: The combination of what?

13 MR. LAWRENCE: Of the investigative techniques,  
14 okay. And how -- since she's part of the arrest  
15 warrant, I want to ask her about the details of --  
16 how Mr. Grasso went about his investigation, okay.

17 And if she talks to Anna Campbell too. So  
18 there's -- there's a whole host of stuff. She's --  
19 look if you're a police officer --

20 THE COURT: I'm trying to keep this --

21 MR. LAWRENCE: Right.

22 THE COURT: -- focused.

23 MR. LAWRENCE: Right. And so what I'm saying --

24 THE COURT: So you want to call Officer Grasso  
25 -- pardon me, Officer Dobson because you think that  
26 she -- whatever she did lead to the improper issuance  
27 of the arrest warrant, is that correct?

Probing  
my  
Case

1 MR. LAWRENCE: I think there is a combination of  
2 misconduct between Dobson and Grasso, there's  
3 undoubtable evidence in that, but --

4 THE COURT: Okay, I'm trying to -- Mr. Lawrence,  
5 I don't want to put words in your mouth. I'm just  
6 trying to understand what you're saying to me.

7 MR. LAWRENCE: She's part of the investigation.

8 THE COURT: Basically, it sounds like you're  
9 saying Officer Dobson did or said something that lead  
10 to the improper issuance of an arrest warrant.

11 MR. LAWRENCE: No, she was just part of the  
12 investigation, so I want to be able to ask the  
13 questions.

14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Yes.

15 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Judge, I'd just like to point  
16 out to the court that in May of 2019, I believe, the  
17 defendant requested and was -- there was held a  
18 Frank's hearing in this matter.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 ATTY. TAMACCIO: On June the 27<sup>th</sup>, 2019, Judge  
21 Dennis issued a ruling, a written decision denying a  
22 -- I can provide the court with my copy --

23 THE COURT: Is that in the file?

24 ATTY. TAMACCIO: -- if it's not handy in the  
25 clerk's file.

26 MR. LAWRENCE: Right, but that Frank's --

27 THE COURT: Hold on, stop.

trying  
not to be  
dangerous

Wrong  
Judge

1           ATTY. TAMACCIO: The concerns that Mr. Lawrence  
2           has raised just now based on the written decision are  
3           the concerns he raised at the Franks hearing. This  
4           has already been adjudicated, Judge.

5           MR. LAWRENCE: No, it hasn't because basically  
6           you are only as good as -- your Franks hearing is  
7           only as good as the evidence you have at the time.  
8           The evidence I had at the time from --

9           THE COURT: Let me ask you this, Mr. Tamaccio,  
10          if you know -

11          ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, sir.

12          THE COURT: -- while the clerk's looking for  
13          Judge Dennis's written decision. Did Judge Dennis  
14          permit evidence to be permitted at this Franks  
15          hearing.

16          ATTY. TAMACCIO: In fact, in two occasions.  
17          There was an evidentiary hearing held, following that  
18          there was a period of briefs.

19          MR. LAWRENCE: No, wrong, wrong, there was --

20          THE COURT: Stop, stop, stop.

21          MR. LAWRENCE: There was only one evidentiary  
22          hearing.

23          THE COURT: Mr. Lawrence, I'm going to let you  
24          talk but State's attorney's talking. When he's  
25          talking don't interrupt him. When you're talking, he  
26          won't interrupt you, okay. Finish saying what you're  
27          saying.

1           ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, for clarity I'll  
2 simply read the operative paragraph with Judge  
3 Dennis's decision. At the conclusion of the  
4 conclusion of the May 14<sup>th</sup> hearing.

5           The court permitted the parties to file  
6 memorandums of law on May 14, 15, and 21, Mr.  
7 Lawrence filed three memoranda, respectively, titled  
8 Franks hearing arguments, Franks hearing memorandum  
9 of law.

10           And addition to memorandum of law, tapes of  
11 Officer Grasso taping and talk with me and my request  
12 for subpoena of tenant Chelsea. Attached to that  
13 last one, I'm given an understand where two Cd's that  
14 included a video -- bodycam video, which the court  
15 took into consideration in making its  
16 (Indiscernible).

17           MR. LAWRENCE: Okay, once again --

18           THE COURT: All right. So --

19           MR. LAWRENCE: Can I speak.

20           THE COURT: Yes. And -- okay --

21           MR. LAWRENCE: Okay. I'm only as good as the  
22 information that was given to me. I was given first  
23 and foremost this. This is a redacted form of the  
24 sworn written statement, okay.

25           I could not put the pieces together because  
26 Susan (Indiscernible) department, okay, did this.  
27 They butchered the sworn written statement. I now

Lead  
D.A.  
Suzanne  
Vieux

1 have an unredacted version, okay, care of Mr.  
2 Tamaccio and I thank him for that, that I have via  
3 emails with him in the last two days, okay. That's  
4 just one example of having new information.

5 Another aspect that was redacted at the time was  
6 the police reports that were handed to me, okay. So  
7 there was no names involved. I couldn't figure out  
8 the names and I had to then make a second request on  
9 my own with the Westport police to get an unredacted  
10 police report.

11 Between those two, if I had those two, if I had  
12 an unredacted version of the sworn written statement,  
13 okay, my Franks hearing would have been solid, okay.  
14 And now when we were going to talk about the most  
15 important witness, okay, that is the most definitely  
16 related to the warrants and to the sworn written  
17 statement and the police report.

18 The other woman is Chelsea Ciofolletti, okay.  
19 She is very, very significant. I've scaled down my  
20 witness list, okay. And I have, I have gotten a  
21 sworn affidavit from Patricia Charlesworth.

22 My parents are all ready to get on a plane,  
23 we'll talk about their relevance, okay. But easily  
24 what Mr. Tamaccio's referring to in his Franks  
25 hearing was butchered by his own department.

26 Susan Vieux committed a brady rule violation in  
27 regard to this, I was entitled to an unredacted

1 version to be able to put the pieces together and I  
2 didn't have it. And I have all the records and all  
3 the minutes in related to this.

4 This is what was handed --

5 THE COURT: All right, Mr. --

6 MR. LAWRENCE: -- over to me.

7 THE COURT: All right, Mr. -- Mr. Kim have you  
8 been able to find Judge Dennis's decision?

9 THE CLERK: I think I have it.

10 MR. LAWRENCE: I'm only as good as the material  
11 that was given to me. And so even if it -- I mean it  
12 doesn't make sense. I should be allowed to have  
13 Sereniti. I didn't ask Sereniti -- I did not ask to  
14 call Sereniti Dobson back at the (Indiscernible).

15 THE COURT: I'm looking at a written decision  
16 issued by --

17 MR. LAWRENCE: But once again, Your Honor, --

18 THE COURT: -- Judge McLaughlin. And this is  
19 dated June 27<sup>th</sup>, 2019. Court's order denying  
20 defendant's motion for a Franks hearing.

21 ATTY. TAMACCIO: My apologies, Judge, it was in  
22 fact Judge McLaughlin not Judge Dennis, I apologize.

23 THE COURT: Yes, I'm going to make this clear  
24 for the record because the clerk handed me a copy of  
25 the arrest warrant which is signed by Judge Dennis.

26 ATTY. TAMACCIO: My apologies, Judge.

27 THE COURT: And so Judge Dennis did not do the

1 Franks hearing at which there was a request for  
2 evidence and you say there was evidence.

3 ATTY. TAMACCIO: That is correct.

4 THE COURT: It was Judge McLaughlin who did the  
5 hearing. She issued a decision, and she denied it.  
6 So having heard both sides, this is not a time to go  
7 back and relitigate the issues in the Franks hearing.

8 MR. LAWRENCE: It's not the issues on the Franks  
9 hearing.

10 THE COURT: Sir, please don't talk when I'm  
11 talking.

12 MR. LAWRENCE: Your Honor, this (Indiscernible)  
13 was given to me.

14 THE COURT: So -- sir, I asked you not to talk  
15 when I'm talking.

16 MR. LAWRENCE: This is not fair. All right this  
17 is -- you're taking advantage of a pro se defendant.

18 THE COURT: So -- you know what, sir --

19 MR. LAWRENCE: This is unbelievable.

20 THE COURT: All right, so I'm denying the motion  
21 for the subpoena regarding Officer Dobson. What  
22 about Precio -- Precioso?

23 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, again, Lieutenant  
24 Precioso was initially on my witness list. He had  
25 been present at the time that the victim was  
26 interviewed. However, the interview was conducted by  
27 Officer Grasso.

1           And speaking with Officer Grasso, I've  
2           determined that I don't intend to call Lieutenant  
3           Precioso. The substance of the investigation was  
4           done by Officer Grasso. He would be the appropriate  
5           witness.

6           THE COURT: Well, Officer Precioso  
7           was present at the time the alleged victim was  
8           interviewed.

9           MR. LAWRENCE: And so was Dobson.

10          THE COURT: Be quiet.

11          ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, sir.

12          THE COURT: Okay. Did he conduct an interview?

13          ATTY. TAMACCIO: No, sir.

14          THE COURT: Okay. And so, obviously, Ms.  
15          Campbell is going to come in here --

16          ATTY. TAMACCIO: That's correct.

17          THE COURT: -- and testify. And tell me, Mr.  
18          Lawrence, what would Officer Precioso be saying  
19          because Ms. Campbell is going to take the witness  
20          stand and I take it that the Officer Precioso didn't  
21          conduct the interview, observed it and what is he  
22          going to be saying other than what he heard her say?

23          MR. LAWRENCE: Officer Precioso then became the  
24          lead freedom of (Indiscernible).

25          THE COURT: Tell me what you want him to testify  
26          about? What is he going to say other than his  
27          observations of the interview of Ms. Campbell.

1 MR. LAWRENCE: I want -- I don't have a lot to  
2 say to Officer Precioso. I, basically, want him to  
3 confirm email correspondences with me, that what --

4 THE COURT: Email correspondence between --

5 MR. LAWRENCE: Me and him.

6 THE COURT: What -- how's that relevant to  
7 anything or what -- what are those email exchanges  
8 about?

9 MR. LAWRENCE: If -- if Mr. Tamaccio --

10 THE COURT: You can stand up, stop bending over  
11 just speak up.

12 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay. If Mr. Tamaccio goes down  
13 a road of --

14 THE COURT: You know what, don't tell me if, if,  
15 if -- you want to call Officer Precioso and you just  
16 said you want to call him so you can question him  
17 about some email exchange between yourself and him.

18 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes.

19 THE COURT: And I asked you what that exchange  
20 was about and how is it relevant or material with  
21 what you're charged with. Please, in a focus manner  
22 tell me why you want Officer Precioso to testify and  
23 what he's going to say, what you are going to  
24 question him about.

25 MR. LAWRENCE: What he's going to -- what he's  
26 going to clarify -- what he's going to confirm, okay,  
27 is that the redaction policies of the Westport police

Probing  
my  
case

1 in regard to -- for your information.

2 What happened to me, Your Honor, is that there  
3 was a narrative that made it to --

4 THE COURT: You want to talk about the redaction  
5 --

6 MR. LAWRENCE: I want to talk about the I want  
7 to --

8 THE COURT: Stop.

9 MR. LAWRENCE: You won't let me finish.

10 THE COURT: You want to talk to him -- you want  
11 to ask him about the redaction policy in regard to  
12 what, domestic violence cases?

13 MR. LAWRENCE: Just let me finish and I'll be  
14 --

15 THE COURT: Well, you tell me what you're  
16 talking about --

17 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay.

18 THE COURT: -- and don't give me a long speech  
19 --

20 THE COURT: Tell me what you're talking about?

21 MR. LAWRENCE: I'm referring to -- in -- in --  
22 so I was arrested twice, okay. The first arrest was  
23 second degree breach of peace.  
24 There was an officer name James Sullivan who  
25 wrote a particular narrative about allegedly me  
26 having -- getting into personal space of women at  
27 markets, okay.

1           And then there was a narrative after that --  
2           that -- and part of the narrative was that these  
3           alleged women at markets, okay, were afraid to give  
4           statements out of fear of retaliation, okay.

5           I depose this officer in my civil cases to where  
6           he admitted that this is not true. That it's not one  
7           incident report of anything in my past that goes back  
8           to 2002.

9           THE COURT: What does that have to do with this  
10          case?

11          MR. LAWRENCE: Okay. Now, what happened was is  
12          that, that narrative from Officer Sullivan made its  
13          way into Officer Grasso's arrest warrant here, okay.  
14          And so part of the exhibits to the jury, okay, are  
15          going to be the warrant and the police report to  
16          which the jury will be --

17          THE COURT: The warrant is not -- is not going  
18          to be an exhibit. It goes to the -- to the jury.  
19          You're talking about the arrest warrant in this case?

20          MR. LAWRENCE: Yes. Okay, so --

21          THE COURT: Why would the jury be getting a copy  
22          of the arrest warrant in this case?

23          MR. LAWRENCE: I -- I planned on giving them a  
24          copy and I plan on giving them a copy of the -- can I  
25          finish, please, let me just finish. I know --

26          THE COURT: Go ahead.

27          MR. LAWRENCE: I know -- I realize that. You're

1 the seventh judge that I really appreciate everything  
2 that you've done.

3 THE COURT: Just get to your point.

4 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay. So what happened was, is  
5 that, that narrative is what people --

6 THE COURT: What narrative?

7 MR. LAWRENCE: The alleged narrative of James  
8 Lawrence the Boogie man had apparently nine women  
9 made complaints about getting in the space -- getting  
10 in their personal space as Officer Sullivan wrote in  
11 his --

12 THE COURT: Mr. Lawrence, just so I can  
13 understand what you're talking about, are you talking  
14 about this interview -- are you talking about items  
15 contained or information contained in the arrest  
16 warrant -- your arrest warrant?

17 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes, yes, so what happened was  
18 that Officer Grasso from this arrest parroted the  
19 narrative to sell his warrant from Officer Sullivan,  
20 okay.

21 Now I have a sworn deposition which will be, if  
22 need be, okay, an exhibit to show that what Officer  
23 Sullivan he came -- Officer Sullivan came to my aid  
24 in my civil cases.

25 The Westport police don't want to be responsible  
26 for portraying me as harassing women at markets, why,  
27 because Officer Sullivan in his warrant never used

1 the word harass.

2 And the word harass was never used in any  
3 incident report in my past. And the fact that there  
4 is not -- there is no evidence of women in the past  
5 of being afraid to give statements out of fear of  
6 retaliation.

7 So how is Mr. Precioso relative to this, okay.  
8 Just like you know Officer Sullivan is relative  
9 because he's in the warrant --

10 THE COURT: I think you mean relevant. You keep  
11 saying --

12 MR. LAWRENCE: Relevant. Okay, how is Precioso  
13 relevant, much like Al Sullivan is relevant because  
14 he's in the arrest warrant of this case. How,  
15 because Mr. Precioso is the authority on redaction  
16 policies, okay.

17 And so this idea that people, the press, or  
18 anyone has the right to use an incident -- incident  
19 report from the past that was fully investigated,  
20 that resulted in no arrest.

21 The statute of limitations is in effect. They  
22 are unproven and it's unprovable. There's no arrest.  
23 You were right, we were talking about the one arrest  
24 I had from 1995 so.

25 THE COURT: Mr. Lawrence, I just want to make  
26 sure I understand. You seem to be saying to me that  
27 the police who put together the arrest warrant

1 affidavit for you, used information from prior  
2 incidents where you allegedly engaged in some type of  
3 misconduct.

4 MR. LAWRENCE: No, I didn't get hit with  
5 misconduct. They're just --

6 THE COURT: I said allegedly.

7 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay. Well, it's --

8 THE COURT: Were you allegedly engaged in some  
9 type of misconduct, and they used that information to  
10 improperly get an arrest warrant against you, is that  
11 what you're saying?

12 MR. LAWRENCE: That's -- that's very  
13 significant, but, but, but --

14 THE COURT: Is that what you're saying, yes or  
15 no?

16 MR. LAWRENCE: I'm just playing defense.

17 THE COURT: You know what, sir, I want to -- I  
18 want to understand what you're saying to me and  
19 you're not telling me you're all over the place.

20 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay if we can agree then.

21 THE COURT: Oh, we still, no -- are you talking  
22 about the validity of the arrest warrant. Are you  
23 telling me that the arrest warrant refers to  
24 information that was untrue or was improper, is  
25 that's what this is about?

26 MR. LAWRENCE: I would say -- I'm saying that,  
27 yes. And I'm also saying that Lieutenant Precioso,

1           okay, is an authority to say, look yes. That should  
2           have been redacted that how the press got their hands  
3           on this stuff and reported on it that effected not  
4           only Anna Campbell.

5           But everyone in this freaking world, okay,  
6           including my fellow tenants, including this Chelsea  
7           Ciofolletti as that I'm going to call who's not an  
8           ally of mine that I want called -- that I want  
9           subpoenaed. The one subpoenaed that I want, okay.

10          So yes --

11          THE COURT: Wrap up -- wrap up your remarks  
12          about Precioso, please.

13          MR. LAWRENCE: Okay. So what I'm saying is that  
14          if Mr. Tamaccio can agree, okay, do not mention  
15          anything that is not related to this case. And I'm  
16          talking about any kind of like -- from that  
17          narrative, then we will have --

18          THE COURT: Mr. Tamaccio, do you plan to offer  
19          any misconduct evidence?

20          ATTY. TAMACCIO: No, Your Honor, I do not.  
21          However, I will point out to the court for the  
22          record, I was going to bring this up after we dealt  
23          with the witnesses but now seems like an appropriate  
24          time, before we went forward, Mr. Lawrence indicated  
25          that he wishes to have the victim's written statement  
26          submitted as an exhibit.

27          The State does was intending to admit that

1 primarily because a large portion of it contains  
2 information about uncharged misconduct that the State  
3 does not feel is relevant or probative and is in fact  
4 quite prejudicial to Mr. Lawrence because of that I  
5 elected not to pursue that ground.

6 I will, however, point out Mr. Lawrence despite  
7 my same as to him earlier was admin about putting it  
8 in. I will not be mentioning it. I will not be  
9 seeking approve et al.

10 If he chooses to open that door, that's on Mr.  
11 Lawrence.

12 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay. Well, that's good. I  
13 appreciate that. But I --

14 THE COURT: Okay, I suppose we'll deal with that  
15 when we get to it. So the State just told me it  
16 doesn't intend to impose any or offer any evidence of  
17 prior misconduct.

18 MR. LAWRENCE: Super.

19 THE COURT: And -- okay.

20 MR. LAWRENCE: All right.

21 THE COURT: So any event, as far as Precioso is  
22 concerned, you a moment ago essentially told me that  
23 you think that the arrest warrant affidavit contains  
24 information about misconduct and that the arrest  
25 warrant wasn't properly issued because they're false  
26 or misleading, or improper information in there.  
27 That's the gist of -- that's what -- how I interpret

1           what you said to me.

2           And my ruling regarding Precioso is the same as  
3           regarding Dobson. I'm not going to sign a subpoena  
4           for Precioso because you had a Franks hearing,  
5           apparently, in front of Judge McLaughlin.

6           She issued a written decision; she denied it and  
7           the arrest warrant is not evidence as far as I can  
8           see it. Unless I'm convinced otherwise, the arrest  
9           warrant is not something that would be going to the  
10          jury in a jury trial.

11          An arrest warrant can contain information  
12          that's, actually, inadmissible in evidence in a  
13          trial. There's a wide range of information that  
14          could be contained in an arrest warrant.

15          And we're not here to litigate the validity of  
16          the arrest warrant at this time. And you seem to  
17          want to go behind the arrest warrant and question  
18          Dobson and Precioso and I'm not going to issue a  
19          subpoenaed for that purpose.

20          MR. LAWRENCE: It's -- It's not --

21          THE COURT: So let's go on to the next.

22          MR. LAWRENCE: All right.

23          THE COURT: Who else did you want to call.

24          MR. LAWRENCE: All right. So long as we agree  
25          to keep things focused.

26          THE COURT: Let's move on to the next person.

27          Who else were you talking about, the two tenants.

1           MR. LAWRENCE: All right. What I -- All right.  
2           So I've told you that I have good news, is that I  
3           have an affidavit for Patricia Charlesworth, okay,  
4           which is going to, basically -- which I will  
5           introduce as evidence which I have the right to do.

6           THE COURT: No, no, no, you're jumping ahead.  
7           You just said you have an affidavit for somebody name  
8           Charlesworth.

9           MR. LAWRENCE: Patricia Charlesworth.

10          THE COURT: Who's one of the tenants I take it.

11          MR. LAWRENCE: Yes.

12          THE COURT: Well, here is the problem before I  
13          even see the affidavit, you can't submit an affidavit  
14          to the jury, the State has to have an opportunity to  
15          cross examine your witnesses.

16          Assuming this witness has something relevant and  
17          material to say, you can't just say, I've got a sworn  
18          affidavit and I want to hand this to the jury, and  
19          they can take this into consideration in judging the  
20          case, doesn't work that way, sir.

21          The State's attorney has a right to cross  
22          examine. And you can't cross examine an affidavit  
23          which is a piece of paper. Do you have an affidavit  
24          for the other tenant, as well, is that what you're  
25          going to tell me?

26          MR. LAWRENCE: No, no.

27          THE COURT: Okay. What if any -- so --

1           ATTY. TAMACCIO: Judge, I was just going to  
2           reiterate the court's made my point already. Also, I  
3           haven't seen this affidavit so I also don't know what  
4           it contains, can't speak to its relevance.

5           THE COURT: If you want to submit it as an  
6           exhibit, I'll mark it for ID and hold it in the file,  
7           the jury's not going to see it. If you want to say  
8           something, you can go ahead and say it.

9           MR. LAWRENCE: You know, I know that there's  
10          little dance steps to be able to get this. And I  
11          know I'm know a lawyer, okay. And I know that you  
12          guys want to not make the warrant at all relative,  
13          but the warrant has relevance. The narrative in the  
14          has -- Mr. --

15          THE COURT: Sir, we're not going back and  
16          talking about the warrant. I asked you about the  
17          Charlesworth affidavit. If you want to say something  
18          about that, go ahead. I told you why I'm not going  
19          to make that an exhibit in the case -- an exhibit  
20          that goes through the jury.

21          There's no opportunity for the State to cross  
22          examine an affidavit.

23          MR. LAWRENCE: Okay, if I was to then take --  
24          say that I would like to bring her as a witness,  
25          would that be something --

26          THE COURT: What is she going to say --

27          MR. LAWRENCE: Okay.

1 THE COURT: -- or why -- what does she have to  
2 say that's relevant and material to this case.

3 MR. LAWRENCE: Are you -- all right. Som, in  
4 regard to this, okay, when you use a warrant to get  
5 an arrest, okay, and if information in the warrant is  
6 false, once again, this -- this Franks hearing, I did  
7 not have all the material that I needed.

8 THE COURT: We're not talking about the Franks  
9 hearing anymore. Judge McLaughlin conducted the  
10 hearing, issued a decision, that's the law of the  
11 case. I'm not going back over what Judge McLaughlin  
12 did.

13 MR. LAWRENCE: But I have new evidence.

14 THE COURT: She made a decision, and you keep  
15 going back to the Franks hearing. I told you,  
16 Charlesworth, tell me what, what she has to say  
17 that's relevant and material.

18 If you don't get to it right away, I'm just  
19 going to deny it because I've asked you this  
20 repeatedly. What is she going to testify to?

21 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay, she's testifying the very  
22 fact, okay, that the -- in the -- in the warrant,  
23 okay, what was written about the apartment was false,  
24 okay.

25 THE COURT: I don't what apartment you're  
26 talking about and --

27 MR. LAWRENCE: The apartment -- THE

Judge  
dismantled  
my case

1 THE COURT: -- and I don't know what she said  
2 that was false, so explain it.

3 MR. LAWRENCE: Can we -- I'll tell you what -- I  
4 was wondering can we agree to move on and can I deal  
5 with --

6 THE COURT: If you don't want to pursue your --  
7 the subpoenaed regarding Charlesworth, that's up to  
8 you but I'm asking you to explain why I should sign a  
9 subpoenaed to bring her in here.

10 Initially, you talked about her affidavit, and I  
11 told you an affidavit is not going in. If you want  
12 her to testify, she's got something relevant material  
13 to say, of course, I'm going to issue a subpoenaed --

14 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay.

15 THE COURT: Hold on. But I -- you're here to  
16 make an offer of proof of what she's going to say.  
17 So this is your opportunity, go for it.

18 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay. So what I do is I reserve  
19 the right to talk about my proposed witnesses in a  
20 certain order. I would like to deal with Chelsey  
21 Cioffoletti, first.

22 And then I would like to deal with my parents,  
23 and I would like to deal with Patricia Charlesworth  
24 last.

25 THE COURT: You know what; we're going to deal  
26 with what I had told you to deal with right now,  
27 which is Charlesworth. You don't get to decide how

WOW

1 I'm going to conduct this hearing and what order  
2 we're going in.

3 And I asked you about Charlesworth. Address  
4 Charlesworth.

5 MR. LAWRENCE: I would rather not address  
6 Charlesworth right now because I --

7 THE COURT: Okay, I'm going to deny it since you  
8 won't give me a reason. And from what you've said  
9 what Charlesworth is going to say is regarding some  
10 information that led to the issues of the arrest  
11 warrant.

12 And as I've said probably a dozen times thus  
13 far, you had a Frank's hearing in front of Judge  
14 McLaughlin. She made a decision, that's the law of  
15 the case so we're done with Charlesworth, that's  
16 denied. Now who's the last person?

17 MR. LAWRENCE: All right. So what we have here  
18 is probably the most important and the only --

19 THE COURT: Who is the last person, what's the  
20 name?

21 MR. LAWRENCE: Can I -- can I --

22 THE COURT: What is the name?

23 MR. LAWRENCE: I would appreciate that if I  
24 cannot be interrupted and if I cannot --

25 THE COURT: You know what, what is the name of  
26 the last witness you want subpoenaed?

27 MR. LAWRENCE: I have -- I have three here now,

1 I have three. I have peacefully tried to --

2 THE COURT: They were your parent -- well,  
3 actually, you wanted to talk about officers Dobson  
4 and Precioso --

5 MR. LAWRENCE: No --

6 THE COURT: We've done that.

7 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes, I don't want --

8 THE COURT: Then you brought up Charlesworth as  
9 one of the tenants.

10 MR. LAWRENCE: Charlesworth.

11 THE COURT: Then you said there was a second  
12 tenant. So we've dealt with Charlesworth. Who is  
13 the second tenant?

14 MR. LAWRENCE: Charlesworth. Okay. This Your  
15 Honor --

16 THE COURT: Who is the second tenant?

17 MR. LAWRENCE: Chelsey Cioffoletti.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MR. LAWRENCE: All right, so we're going to deal  
20 with -- this is to me is the reason why I'm here.

21 This is the number one reason, okay. Now, having  
22 this subpoena is very important to a lot of issues.

23 And I'll talk about it because Ms. Cioffoletti  
24 is in the sworn written statement to which I plan on  
25 submitting and going over in detail.

26 THE COURT: Sworn written statement by who?

27 MR. LAWRENCE: The complainant, okay. In the

1 sworn written statement it says, I say and will be --  
2 okay, I Anna Campbell, make the following statement  
3 to officer Grasso of the Westport Police Department  
4 without threat or promises knowing what I say, can  
5 and will be used in court either for or against me.

6 I am making this statement of my own free will  
7 and accord. This means that I have the right to  
8 question this sworn written statement. Mr. Tamaccio  
9 has no desire to bring this in, I fully do.

10 Now, in this sworn written statement --

11 THE COURT: Let me just tell you something, Mr.  
12 Lawrence, when Anna Campbell testifies, you have a  
13 chance to cross-examine her. And during the course  
14 of her -- your cross-examination, if you want to, if  
15 you think she said something inconsistent in her  
16 written out of court statement, you can ask her  
17 whether she said that -- if she admits that she did,  
18 then the jury can consider that for impeachment  
19 purposes.

20 And if she denies that, you can ask the court to  
21 admit either part or all that statement for  
22 impeachment purposes.

23 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes.

24 THE COURT: But you're jumping ahead.

25 MR. LAWRENCE: No.

26 THE COURT: Yes, you are.

27 MR. LAWRENCE: I'm trying to talk about Chelsey

1 Cioffoletti.

2 THE COURT: Listen to me. You'll listen to me.

3 So you could cross-examine her about anything you  
4 want that's -- that's relevant.

5 MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you.

6 THE COURT: So go ahead finish your remarks.

7 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay, okay, all right. So thank  
8 you. So I'm glad that -- I'm glad I know that, okay.  
9 So now, as I've written in this (Indiscernible)  
10 should I give this to you?

11 THE COURT: I don't know what it is, or this is.

12 MR. LAWRENCE: I'm sorry. This is the official  
13 -- this is the -- the application for issuance of a  
14 subpoena, okay. I pulled it out.

15 THE COURT: You know what, I don't need to see  
16 that. Talk about Cioffoletti.

17 MR. LAWRENCE: All right. Okay, but I wanted --  
18 I was asking -- I was going to ask you to read my  
19 reasonings.

20 THE COURT: This is your chance to tell me.

21 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay.

22 THE COURT: So talk, tell me what's your  
23 argument?

24 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay, okay, okay. And so it's  
25 multiple. All right. So what I have here is that --  
26 like I said -- when I got originally in 2019, I got a  
27 redacted version of the sworn written statement that

1           concealed names.

2           I couldn't put pieces together for a lot of  
3           stuff, okay. Now, in this sworn written statement,  
4           okay, Anna Campbell commits a very, very, very,  
5           serious act, okay, that needs to be -- that I'm going  
6           to be questioning.

7           It's the kind of act that if it happened to you,  
8           Judge, if it happened to Mr. Kim, if it happened to  
9           this marshal here, if it happened to Mr. Tamaccio,  
10          that you would be without a job.

11          What happened is that what she wrote here in  
12          this sworn written statement, okay, made it into --

13          THE COURT: What who wrote, Charlesworth?

14          MR. LAWRENCE: No, no, we're talking about the  
15          complainant.

16          THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.

17          MR. LAWRENCE: The complainant wrote the  
18          following in her sworn written statement. And it's  
19          very serious in these times and this very dangerous  
20          times because this (Indiscernible) gets it --

21          THE COURT: Get to the point. What do you want  
22          to talk about that's in the statement, get to the  
23          point, please.

24          MR. LAWRENCE: Okay, this is very serious stuff.

25          What she wrote was, the other first floor tenant,  
26          Chelsey, I'm reading that I -- the recent unredacted  
27          version -- Chelsey who I last -- who's last name I

Idiot  
Judge

1 don't know -- told me that on one occasion James  
2 Lawrence waited in the dark in the basement, which is  
3 the general room for everybody it's where the laundry  
4 is, okay.

5 Where the washing machines and dryers are  
6 located. Chelsey told me that when she went down to  
7 the basement to do laundry, Lawrence who was waiting  
8 in the dark approached Chelsey from behind, placed  
9 his hand over her mouth and said something to her.

10 THE COURT: I can hear you fine.

11 MR. LAWRENCE: Well, I'm telling you that this  
12 is serious stuff, Your Honor, because men's lives are  
13 being ruined over this stuff.

14 THE COURT: So you're upset about the --

15 MR. LAWRENCE: Let me finish the quote.

16 THE COURT: -- content --

17 MR. LAWRENCE: I'm finishing the quote, okay.  
18 Chelsey will not do laundry at the house anymore  
19 which is all false, okay. She's a fourteen-year  
20 tenant, she's been there.

21 This reads exactly what Anna Campbell did to her  
22 boss the same summer. Now, this I have Chelsey on  
23 tape saying that she didn't do this. I realize that  
24 tapes are not admissible, okay.

25 So because it's in the sworn written statement,  
26 Chelsey needs to be compelled here. Just like I  
27 printed you an affidavit, she needs to be compelled

1 to come here to say, if she said this because this is  
2 a sexual assault.

3 And what I want to know --

4 THE COURT: Stop --

5 MR. LAWRENCE: I want to know. I want to know.  
6 I want to know why --

7 THE COURT: That's okay, that's okay, that's  
8 okay, fine. That's fine, that's fine.

9 MR. LAWRENCE: We're on (Indiscernible) time and  
10 we got this stuff in a word that she (Indiscernible)  
11 to the goddam media and she lied, and they know it,  
12 they know it. I want that woman here and I want a  
13 yes, or a no, if she said that. It's only fair.

14 THE COURT: Do you -- do you have a comment,  
15 counsel?

16 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Only that we've already  
17 addressed this. And that I don't intend to bring in  
18 any misconduct to evidence. And this is precisely  
19 why I don't seek to enter the victim's statement.  
20 Does an edition --

21 MR. LAWRENCE: It's in the sworn written  
22 statement. I plan on entering it and I will be  
23 talking about it --

24 THE COURT: Well, you know what --

25 MR. LAWRENCE: -- and the jury's going to be  
26 reading it.

27 THE COURT: -- you know what -- you know what,

evasive  
Corrupt  
Mendacious

1 sir. I asked you, don't interrupt the State's  
2 attorney, please.

3 MR. LAWRENCE: The jury will be reading it.

4 THE COURT: Okay, finish up.

5 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Because in addition to the  
6 relevant portions of the statement which relate to  
7 the emails which led to the charge. There is also  
8 information like this which until this morning I  
9 would have imagined Mr. Lawrence didn't  
10 (Indiscernible) in front of the jury.

11 MR. LAWRENCE: I have no problem. I have no  
12 problem.

13 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Excuse me, Mr. Lawrence.

14 MR. LAWRENCE: It's (Indiscernible).

15 ATTY. TAMACCIO: And in over abundance of  
16 caution as he has self-represented --

17 MR. LAWRENCE: I don't care.

18 ATTY. TAMACCIO: -- the State has no interest in  
19 admitting this information.

20 MR. LAWRENCE: I'd rather show perjury. I  
21 rather -- I'll write -- I'll write perjury.

22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, very much.

23 MR. LAWRENCE: And what's so outrageous is that  
24 it's outrageous --

25 THE COURT: Mr. Lawrence, please be quiet.  
26 Please be quiet.

27 MR. LAWRENCE: -- that they would want to come

Fat  
Corrupt  
little  
shit

1 for perjury.

2 THE COURT: Please be quiet.

3 MR. LAWRENCE: I've been dealing with this, Your  
4 Honor, for two and a half years.

5 THE COURT: Please be quiet.

6 MR. LAWRENCE: Two and a half years I've been  
7 watching the prosecution cover this up.

8 THE COURT: I've heard our argument. I heard  
9 what the State's attorney said. Based on everything  
10 I've heard, especially, what I've heard coming from  
11 you is that you want to question the complainant in  
12 this case --

13 MR. LAWRENCE: One question.

14 THE COURT: -- about some matter of alleged  
15 misconduct --

16 MR. LAWRENCE: Sexual assault.

17 THE COURT: -- in which -- in which --

18 MR. LAWRENCE: Sexual assault.

19 THE COURT: -- she says -- she says to a third  
20 party that you did engage in some nefarious conduct  
21 in an apartment or an apartment building.

22 MR. LAWRENCE: Your Honor, this is not --

23 THE COURT: Let me finish my remarks.

24 MR. LAWRENCE: Can I just, please --

25 THE COURT: The State's attorney just said he  
26 doesn't intend to offer any evidence of prior  
27 misconduct. And to tell you the truth to go down --

in the  
warrant  
too

1 you seem to want to go into an issue of prior  
2 misconduct.

3 And the part that -- the thing that really  
4 concerns me is that, if we start going down --  
5 putting on evidence regarding whether the complainant  
6 accused you of talk to some third party about  
7 sexually assaulting somebody, it gets us off on a  
8 tangent that really isn't relevant to this case.

9 MR. LAWRENCE: It's showing the motivation.

10 THE COURT: You were charged with harassment.

11 MR. LAWRENCE: It shows the state of mind -- the  
12 state of mind.

13 THE COURT: You were charged with harassment in  
14 the second degree which talks about the manner of  
15 sending written communications to somebody else and  
16 the focus is on whether you intended to harass,  
17 annoy, alarm that person.

18 It's not about the content of the alleged  
19 communications.

20 MR. LAWRENCE: We're dealing with the State.

21 THE COURT: Hold on. And it appears that you  
22 want to talk about the arrest warrant.

23 MR. LAWRENCE: No, no.

24 THE COURT: And you want to talk about those --

25 MR. LAWRENCE: No, I'm talking -- not the arrest  
26 warrant. I'm talking about the sworn written  
27 statement. I'm not --

1 THE COURT: You want to talk about those  
2 allegations regarding misconduct.

3 MR. LAWRENCE: Can I just --

4 THE COURT: So I am going to deny the subpoena.

5 MR. LAWRENCE: Goddammit! Goddammit! This is  
6 abuse! This is abuse! This is a goddam abuse! This  
7 is abuse, Your Honor! This is abuse!

8 THE COURT: You know what Mr. --

9 MR. LAWRENCE: How can -- how can anyone not  
10 care about (Indiscernible). How can they not want to  
11 know it. How can they not want to know. This person  
12 is not an ali of mine, Your Honor. Read section  
13 sixty of the warrant. She is not an ali of mine.

14 I've asked you one question, to verify country,  
15 the state of mind of the goddam complaint, and you  
16 guys are stonewalling this.

17 THE COURT: I've issued my ruling now. Mr.  
18 Lawrence, if you want to leave, you don't want to  
19 take part --

20 MR. LAWRENCE: Goddammit!

21 THE MARSHAL: Sir, you need to calm down.

22 MR. LAWRENCE: This (Indiscernible) probate  
23 person you're trying to --

24 THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say?  
25 You know what, unless you come in here and calm down  
26 and act like a gentleman --

27 MR. LAWRENCE: Gentleman -- gentleman -- a

CONFIDENTIAL

1 gentleman. I did not hear gentleman.

2 THE COURT: -- the hearings going to be over. Do  
3 you have anything else? All right, you know what  
4 we're done with the hearing since you don't want to  
5 participate. You're yelling, screaming, the marshals  
6 have to put you under control.

7 You want to come back here, act like a gentleman  
8 and like a reasonable human being, I'll listen to  
9 you. If you want to keep acting like you're acting,  
10 we're done.

11 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, perhaps, this would  
12 be a perfect time to take a short break to give  
13 everyone a chance to lower the temperature.

14 THE COURT: Well, you know we've gotten through  
15 the witnesses he wants to call.

16 MR. LAWRENCE: No, we didn't.

17 THE COURT: Dobson, Precioso -

18 MR. LAWRENCE: Oh, my God.

19 THE COURT: Cioffoletti and Charlesworth. Is  
20 there somebody else?

21 ATTY. TAMACCIO: I believe, Your Honor, he is  
22 also seeking a subpoena for his parents.

23 THE COURT: Okay, what we're going to do is take  
24 a short recess, ten minutes, so Mr. -- Mr. Lawrence  
25 can calm himself down because how many marshals do we  
26 have in here now, three, five, six, seven, with Mr.  
27 -- and they're in here presumably because Mr.

Corrupt  
Biot

1 Lawrence is yelling and screaming and cursing.

2 MR. LAWRENCE: You are so wrong, someone who  
3 committed perjury. How am I supposed to -- how can I  
4 print -- how can I prove perjury.

5 THE COURT: And acting like a mad man. So what  
6 we're going to do is take -- what we're going to do  
7 is take a ten-minute recess --

8 MR. LAWRENCE: No desire - no desire to know  
9 that someone, basically, falsely accuses someone of  
10 sex assault in the time of me too.

11 THE COURT: and you can come back in here, you  
12 get yourself under control and I'll listen to your  
13 arguments. Ten-minute recess. Thank you.

14 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 MR. LAWRENCE: Just unbelievable, unbelievable.  
16 Someone commits sex -- false accusation of sex  
17 assault and makes its way into a warrant that can go  
18 to the media, Your Honor. And that's fair?

19 RECESS

20 THE COURT: All right. You can be seated.  
21 We're back here on State v. James Lawrence. The  
22 defendant is here. Mr. Tamaccio is here for the  
23 State.

24 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Good morning, again, Judge.

25 THE COURT: I have made my rulings regarding  
26 several witnesses who Mr. Lawrence wanted to subpoena  
27 and just to summarize and to make things as clear as

1 possible.

2 In regard to Officers Dobson and Precioso, based  
3 on what Mr. Lawrence said to me, it appears that he  
4 wants to call them because they can testify about --  
5 he says about information that was use in support of  
6 the arrest warrant.

7 And that information was improper or invalid --

8 MR. LAWRENCE: I don't know.

9 THE COURT: And in any case, as I've said  
10 repeatedly, it was a Franks hearing in this case  
11 conducted by Judge McLaughlin and I'm told that --  
12 well, she issued a written decision --

13 MR. LAWRENCE: Two and a half years.

14 THE COURT: -- and she even took evidence in the  
15 case, I believe.

16 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, sir.

17 THE COURT: And she made her decision, that's  
18 the law of the case. And Dobson and Precioso, as far  
19 as I know, don't have anything relevant or material  
20 to say about this case based on their personal  
21 knowledge.

22 And we're not going to relitigate whether the  
23 arrest warrant was properly issued. So those  
24 subpoenas are denied. Now, Mr. Lawrence also asked  
25 me to issue a -- to accept and admit into evidence an  
26 affidavit by a woman name Chatsworth.

27 MR. LAWRENCE: No, Charlesworth, Charlesworth.

1 THE COURT: And -- Charlesworth, pardon me,  
2 Charlesworth. And as I recall I indicated to him  
3 that I wasn't going to permit him to do that because  
4 there would be no opportunity for the State's  
5 attorney to cross examine.

6 And once I ask Mr. Lawrence to explain what  
7 Charlesworth would say and also what Ciofolletti,  
8 another tenant would say, it appears that Mr.  
9 Lawrence wants to call them so they can talk about  
10 acts of misconduct that were alleged by Anna Campbell  
11 against Mr. Lawrence.

12 And, apparently, Ms. Anna Campbell gave a  
13 written statement, and she alludes to some of this  
14 misconduct in her written statement and Mr. Lawrence  
15 wants to get into that.

16 And I'm not going to issue a subpoena for either  
17 Charlesworth or Ciofolletti to talk about misconduct  
18 evidence because the State clearly indicated to me it  
19 does not intend to offer any evidence of misconduct.

20 And Mr. Lawrence appears to want to get into  
21 issues of misconduct and --

22 MR. LAWRENCE: Which kind of misconduct?

23 THE COURT: I'm not going to permit him to --

24 MR. LAWRENCE: Which kind of --

25 THE COURT: -- do that because that is simply a  
26 distraction.

27 MR. LAWRENCE: No, no, which kind?

1 THE COURT: And it takes us off the materials --

2 MR. LAWRENCE: Not officer --

3 THE COURT: -- the material issues in this case.

4 The elements of the offense charge. I did tell Mr.  
5 Lawrence that when he had opportunity to cross --  
6 cross examine Ms. Campbell, he can, certainly, cross  
7 examine her on her statement.

8 MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you.

9 THE COURT: If she says something inconsistent,  
10 he can ask for the inconsistency to be admitted for  
11 impeachment purposes and I, certainly, consider that  
12 if that's appropriate.

13 MR. LAWRENCE: Can you define impeachment.

14 THE COURT: But we're not going to get into  
15 misconduct evidence regarding sexual assaults or any  
16 other type of misconduct that takes us away from the  
17 central issue.

18 In this case and that's whether the defendant  
19 committed harassment in the second degree by sending  
20 a written communication and did so with the intent to  
21 harass, annoy, or alarm.

22 Now, what other witnesses did you want to talk  
23 about, Mr. Lawrence?

24 MR. LAWRENCE: Can I just have one question on  
25 what you just said?

26 THE COURT: I don't want to answer any  
27 questions. What do you want -- who else do you want

1 to talk about?

2 MR. LAWRENCE: I'm just trying to get clear on  
3 what you said, I don't understand one word. Can I  
4 ask one question about one word?

5 THE COURT: What word are you talking about?

6 MR. LAWRENCE: You said impeachment. What do  
7 you mean by that?

8 THE COURT: That means undermining or  
9 diminishing or destroying somebody's credibility. If  
10 a witness says something and you think that the  
11 witness said something that's on a prior occasion  
12 that was inconsistent, you can ask the person about  
13 that -- the witness about that in hopes that the jury  
14 may not believe the witness, or not believe the  
15 witness as much.

16 MR. LAWRENCE: Right. And so in reference  
17 because this is an electronic evidence case, I  
18 reference electronic evidence?

19 THE COURT: I don't understand your last comment  
20 but, in any event, what other witness -- what other  
21 witness's do you want to talk about subpoenaing?

22 MR. LAWRENCE: Look I -- all right. So that we  
23 have two more issues, okay. And Larry and I, have  
24 both agreed to talk about his issue about getting the  
25 proper electronic evidence to the jury because it's  
26 not in order.

27 And we'll talk about that I guess after this

1 witness. I guess it's probably the most important  
2 thing and it's related to my motion to you on August  
3 11<sup>th</sup> so --

4 THE COURT: Mr. Lawrence --

5 MR. LAWRENCE: We're going to talk about  
6 (Indiscernible).

7 THE COURT: -- the only thing we're here today  
8 to talk about is the subpoenas. Did you want me to  
9 sign. We've gone through four witnesses who you  
10 wanted to subpoena, and I have indicated repeatedly  
11 that I'm not going to sign subpoenas for them, and  
12 I've given the reasons.

13 Now, I'm asking you do you want to talk about  
14 any other witnesses --

15 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes.

16 THE COURT: -- who you want to subpoena?

17 MR. LAWRENCE: All right. Right, so I just want  
18 just for the next time that we have here, is Mr.  
19 Tamaccio and I, were talking about emails, okay. And  
20 so we do have to talk about the -- he wants--

21 THE COURT: Mr. Lawrence --

22 MR. LAWRENCE: -- do you want to -- do you want  
23 to -- do you want to address this?

24 THE COURT: Mr. -- Mr. Lawrence, Mr. Lawrence,  
25 Mr. Lawrence, Mr. Lawrence, don't talk to him, talk  
26 to me.

27 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay.

1 THE COURT: And I'm the judge and I'm going to  
2 conduct a hearing and we're here for a purpose and  
3 we're going to accomplish that purpose. And we  
4 didn't -- I didn't indicate that we are here today to  
5 talk about electronic evidence, this case is about  
6 emails, is that correct?

7 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, Your Honor, it is.

8 THE COURT: And I think on a prior occasion I  
9 had said both to the State and the defense, you get  
10 together, they're certain emails that are in  
11 question.

12 If you can come to an agreement, then the emails  
13 will be admitted by agreement. And if there's some  
14 dispute, we'll deal with the dispute as the need  
15 arise during the course of the trial.

16 If you want to offer evidence regarding some  
17 email and the State objects, I'm going to hear an  
18 argument and I'm going to make a decision. So we're  
19 not here to talk about which emails are going to be  
20 admissible in evidence.

21 If you two can work it out, fine. If you can't  
22 you make your offer during the course of the trial.  
23 If you want to offer something, you offer it. If the  
24 State wants to offer it and you object, you object.

25 I'm going to listen to the arguments, I'm going  
26 to make a decision. Now, I keep saying this. What  
27 other witnesses are you talking about regarding the

idiot  
forgets my  
Motion  
4<sup>th</sup> on Disk  
Amendment  
Violation

1 subpoena?

2 MR. LAWRENCE: All right. I will -- I will move  
3 on, but I want to reserve the right to ask a question  
4 about what we just talked about at the end. Now, in  
5 regard to my parents, Geri and Jim, the reason why I  
6 find that these are very relevant witnesses is  
7 because the two emails --

8 THE COURT: Let's go one at a time.

9 MR. LAWRENCE: Spell them?

10 THE COURT: Well, you can do that, but I said go  
11 one at a time. Spell them, each --each name.

12 MR. LAWRENCE: Well, they both are equal in  
13 regards because they are --

14 THE COURT: Spell your parents' names, Geri.

15 MR. LAWRENCE: Geri Lawrence, G-e-r-i. Lawrence,  
16 L-a-w-r-e-n-c-e.

17 THE COURT: That's your mother.

18 MR. LAWRENCE: That's my mother. And Jim, J-i-  
19 m. Lawrence, SR., He's my father, they are the  
20 landlords, okay. I worked for them, I managed the  
21 apartment complex that I rented to Anna and to which  
22 I managed that apartment complex when I was there.

23 My parents only lived there four months of the  
24 year; they live in Florida where they are right now.  
25 Now, how are they relative? Okay, Larry and I, have  
26 agreed that the emails in question are September 18t  
27 and September 22<sup>nd</sup>.

1 Both have their -- my parents in it. The first  
2 email reads in one line - this is what this whole  
3 case is about -- Anna advise, please do not cause my  
4 beloved seventy-seven-year-old parents anymore  
5 stress, take this advice, that's email number one.

6 Can I have the other email. I just want to read  
7 it quickly.

8 ATTY. TAMACCIO: I've given you the entire  
9 packet of it, Mr. Lawrence.

10 MR. LAWRENCE: I just want to read the second  
11 email.

12 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Right in front of you, sir.

13 MR. LAWRENCE: I want to read the second email.  
14 I don't have --

15 ATTY. TAMACCIO: It's right there.

16 MR. LAWRENCE: No, not the -- all right. Okay.  
17 And the second email -- okay, so that's the first  
18 email that's been questioned, okay. That's on  
19 September 18<sup>th</sup>, okay.

20 The last email, okay, was four days later, okay,  
21 on September 22<sup>nd</sup>, okay, 2018. Okay, and it reads as  
22 follows; so can we halt the relative craziness now  
23 and help me rent the place.

24 I was planning to be back in Europe this week.  
25 I have to be back here November 19<sup>th</sup> and want another  
26 seven-week stretch there. So how about letting me  
27 show the unit to three or four people in a two/three-

1 hour time frame Sunday.

2 I have seven people waiting for October 1, now.

3 Don't freak on the cleaning, just orderly. You and  
4 the kitties can take a café somewhere and I can show  
5 -- question, question mark.

6 My parents are afraid of you. No unnecessary  
7 anxiety please. Who would think this is -- it didn't  
8 make its way into the warrant. Who would think that  
9 these two emails are harassing is beyond me and  
10 that's why I'm going to trial, okay.

11 So with what I -- what is significant here? You  
12 have two emails that are in question and I'm  
13 defending myself and I'm coming to the aid of my  
14 parents, okay.

15 My parents are the landlords. My parents have  
16 text messages to Anna simultaneously for when I'm  
17 communicating with Anna. The issues that I'll be  
18 raising in the -- what Mr. Tamaccio has argued, also,  
19 the significant email chain that brought about the  
20 conflict and the alleged ultimatum that I'm not to  
21 contact her was September 15<sup>th</sup>.

22 A whole stretch of emails while sitting in an  
23 airport in Germany waiting to come back, okay. Those  
24 emails are all significant and are going to be talked  
25 about in detail.

26 But as for my parents, okay, they're in the two  
27 emails relative. They are the landlords of the unit,

1           okay. And they're the ones who are in constant  
2           contact and Anna was in constant contact  
3           simultaneously while she was falsely accusing me of  
4           giving her Hepatitis C, okay.

5           She was doing the same type of harassing stuff  
6           to my parents via text messages, which I have, and to  
7           which made its way into the warrant, which I will  
8           bring up right now, okay.

9           There is a very -- there is a text message  
10          that's used in her sworn written statement and there  
11          is this text message that's in the warrant, Your  
12          Honor, okay.

13          And whether I'll read it from either one, okay,  
14          it's the same thing but I just want you to know that  
15          it's in -- it's in the sworn written statement and  
16          it's in the warrant.

17          And so the text message to my mother, okay, is  
18          -- is the following, if I can read the warrant, it's  
19          in section fourteen, Larry. Which I was trying --  
20          all right. So it's section fourteen, is the text  
21          message.

22          It's part of the warrant and its part and it  
23          comes directly from the sworn written statement. It  
24          reads as follows. Okay, V1, stated on 9/18/2018, so  
25          once again, the email, first email in question.

26          I received a text message from Mrs. Lawrence,  
27          James' mother, asking about the locked basement

1 hatchway. I can only assume that James came by and  
2 found it locked and responded to his mother, which is  
3 not true.

4 I replied to her in an email and stated in part...  
5 okay. They've gutted the <sup>2nd</sup> first half of the email.  
6 The <sup>2nd</sup> first half of the email shows her advocating  
7 violence against me to my parents. Clearly harassing  
8 treatment, okay.

9 But she only puts in half and says, I am writing  
10 again, I want nothing to do with him and maybe now  
11 you both. I will clean the apartment and leave the  
12 keys on the kitchen counter. Text you the evening of  
13 the thirtieth when I'm gone.

14 I want nothing to do with whatever comes of him  
15 for he's not going to stop harassing women and or  
16 writing his rants. Just leave me be as I just want  
17 peace. I deserve while renting here a few weeks. I  
18 wish you both peace as well for I guess you won't get  
19 it till you go back to Florida, okay.

20 So, basically, this text message is in the  
21 warrant, it's in the sworn written statement, okay,  
22 and it's gutted, okay. In the text messages are  
23 overwhelming evidence of the state of mind of Anna  
24 Campbell, okay.

25 Just like what she communicated to Chelsea who  
26 didn't want any part of this stuff. My parents were  
27 thrust into the middle of this and that's why I came

1 to their aid, Your Honor, because they're you know  
2 eighty-year-old people.

3 My father is in the beginning signs of  
4 Parkinson's, who shakes, okay. And he's dealing with  
5 a woman who is imbalanced, okay. And is, basically,  
6 falsely accusing me of Hepatitis C, but knowingly --  
7 we're talking about intent and also doing other kinds  
8 of scare tactics to me via email which is all going  
9 to be a part of the case.

10 And so my parents are here to what, they're in  
11 the text messages, they're in the emails that are in  
12 question, okay. They had numerous correspondence  
13 with the complainant about me, about the conflict  
14 which is September 15<sup>th</sup> email chain.

15 The text messages deployed in the warrant, okay,  
16 are part -- are part of this sworn written statement,  
17 they're part of the arrest, okay. It's part of the  
18 rationality of the whole of everything.

19 They are the primary evidence of the complainant  
20 also holding my belongings hostage, okay. So what  
21 happened was that I came back, Your Honor, and I  
22 basically was locked out of my own apartment.

23 The basement is a storage area. She changed the  
24 locks, and she locked the basement, not only from me  
25 but other tenants, to which I want other tenants to  
26 testify to.

27 All in what she was doing, her behavior was

1 illegal. I had the right to go over there, but I  
2 stayed away. I was avoiding conflict. I let my  
3 parents handle everything.

4 In fact, when I got the Hepatitis C test when I  
5 came back, I had my father walk it over to her and  
6 she said, oh, tell him I don't have it. Even though  
7 she told me she had it in emails.

8 I had my parents do everything. My parents are  
9 the essential ingredient around this whole conflict,  
10 okay. They are the landlords, and they were -- they  
11 were the primary evidence of Anna holding my  
12 belongings hostage from me from September 16<sup>th</sup>  
13 through the 22<sup>nd</sup> to this last email when I said,  
14 okay.

15 Can we halt the round of craziness, and can you  
16 let me rent the place which he promised, okay. She  
17 held my belongings hostage for a week, Your Honor.  
18 Which should have been taking five minutes for me to  
19 get it out my apartment, took a week of drama within  
20 mentally imbalanced cat lady, okay.

21 And so what we have here is then also, finally,  
22 the evidence of complainants also fake Hepatitis C  
23 diagnosis to which caused a lot of this, okay. She,  
24 basically, came at me saying that she had Hepatitis C  
25 all on record and emails saying she had it and she  
26 never had it, okay.

27 Under the definition of harassment, when you

1 talk about intent and you talk about scare tactics,  
2 she was harassing me. And so what you have here is  
3 that my parents, their text messages, and the stuff  
4 that was corresponding that was related to me.


5 And Anna in emails was mirrored in the text  
6 messages to my parents who were unnecessarily  
7 involved in this drama. And that's all it is, it's  
8 just total craziness that has been brought --  
9 totally, blown out of proportion.

10 And what this is, is a false arrest because the  
11 Westport Police knew that I was suing their asses and  
12 they thought that this woman was their savior. But  
13 they ended up -- but then they both used each other.

14 And I was scorned, and she used the police.  
15 What kind of friend uses the police knowing that I  
16 was going to -- that I had issues with the police and  
17 over what, these two emails that I -- that I -- that  
18 I told you.

19 And finally, an -- my parents need to verify  
20 also who the first and second floor attendants are  
21 because they're mentioned in these -- in these  
22 documents, okay. They're quoted, I am going over  
23 Anna's perjury line by line, twenty acts of false  
24 statements. I'm going over it and she's going to be  
25 on the stand and have to answer to that. My parents  
26 are going to need to verify this stuff.

27 And my last -- my last point of this, Your



1 Honor, was that they would also be the ones who taped  
2 Chelsea Ciofolletti, okay, saying that she never said  
3 that I did the sex assault.

4 A fourteen year tenant, okay. And my parents  
5 would verify that, they didn't have the tapes. But I  
6 know the tapes are not necessarily -- it was better  
7 to bring Ms. Ciofolletti here and end this nonsense.

8 My parents are relative, they are in both  
9 emails. They are in both emails. I don't want --  
10 look I approached Mr. Tamaccio last week I said look,  
11 last thing I want to do is put my parents on a plane  
12 here.

13 I'll plea to an infraction. Noncriminal  
14 infraction over this nonsense.

15 THE COURT: I don't want to hear anything about  
16 no plea negotiations.

17 MR. LAWRENCE: All right. But I'm just saying  
18 my --

19 THE COURT: I wasn't involved in them, and I'm  
20 not interested --

21 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay.

22 THE COURT: -- on what you discussed --

23 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay.

24 THE COURT: -- on regarding resolution.

25 MR. LAWRENCE: So in conclusion that is -- my  
26 parents are undeniably tied to the allegations of me  
27 harassing Anna because, A, I was not harassing her,

1           okay. I came to the aid of my parents and the  
2           evidence is in the police report to where I went to  
3           the police the same day.

4           When I sent that email, I went to the police,  
5           okay. And, basically, what you have here is that my  
6           parents were involved. They were a third-party  
7           medium between me and Anna.

8           I was communicating to Anna via my parents. I  
9           got a Hepatitis C test when I came back because she  
10          wanted me to get a Hepatitis C test. I got the  
11          Hepatitis C test on the seventeen, got it back on the  
12          nineteenth, handed it to my father and he delivered  
13          it.

14          He had correspondences with Anna. All this is  
15          relative to the September 22<sup>nd</sup> email where I said,  
16          okay, now that all the craziness is done, okay, can  
17          you help me rent the apartment, to which she promised  
18          all through our emails.

19          My father and my mother -- okay, it's not like I  
20          wanted my father and mother to --

21          THE COURT: Finish up your remarks --

22          MR. LAWRENCE: -- come to my aid.

23          THE COURT: -- sir, you've been going on for a  
24          long time now.

25          MR. LAWRENCE: Right. But I'm just saying, it's  
26          not like I'm -- I'm looking you know to bring a  
27          family member in who's going to vouch me. They're --

1 I don't even want to put them on the stand for a long  
2 time. I just want if anything --

3 THE COURT: Are you -- sir, you've been over  
4 this. You tell me how important this is to you. I  
5 ask you --

6 MR. LAWRENCE: The text messages are very  
7 important.

8 THE COURT: I asked you -- I asked you,  
9 initially, what relevant and material information  
10 your parents Geri and Jim have to this case and so  
11 I've given you an opportunity to make your argument,  
12 so I heard it.

13 Now, I believe you indicated that your parents  
14 live out of state.

15 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Tamaccio.

17 MR. LAWRENCE: but they already have a plane  
18 ticket.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Tamaccio.

20 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, Judge.

21 MR. LAWRENCE: They already have a plane ticket.

22 THE COURT: What would you -- well --

23 MR. LAWRENCE: And why you would -- why you  
24 would want to stonewall this beyond me.

25 THE COURT: I'm really sure. I'm going to hear  
26 Mr. Tamaccio. But as far as issuing a subpoena is  
27 concerned --

1 MR. LAWRENCE: You don't need to.

2 THE COURT: -- you just told me they had plane  
3 tickets and they're on their way and they are your  
4 parents. And I take it, you really don't -- you want  
5 to call them you really don't need a subpoena.

6 MR. LAWRENCE: Right.

7 THE COURT: But nevertheless -- Mr. Tamaccio.

8 MR. LAWRENCE: Right. I don't need a subpoena; I  
9 just want to call them as a witness.

10 THE COURT: Well, you just said it, you don't  
11 need one but go-ahead Mr. Tamaccio.

12 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Give Mr. Lawrence's statement  
13 that he doesn't need a subpoenaed does kind of  
14 obviate the issue. But while we are on the topic --

15 THE COURT: Yes, well, that's the reason we're  
16 here.

17 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Correct.

18 THE COURT: So --

19 ATTY. TAMACCIO: So I would just say while I  
20 have the opportunity that I do anticipate making a  
21 relevance objection to any testimony from either Geri  
22 Lawrence or James Lawrence, SR --

23 MR. LAWRENCE: When you have --

24 ATTY. TAMACCIO: -- as Mr. Lawrence has already  
25 indicated. When he says that the parents are "in the  
26 email", these are emails, Your Honor, written by Mr.  
27 Lawrence to the victim, Ms. Campbell.

1           There are no cc's, there are no third parties  
2           who are, actually, involved in that communication.

3           MR. LAWRENCE: That's wrong.

4           ATTY. TAMACCIO: Excuse me, Mr. Lawrence.

5           MR. LAWRENCE: That's wrong.

6           ATTY. TAMACCIO: Excuse me, sir. Mr. Lawrence  
7           refers to them himself. And as we've gone over  
8           numerous times with the victim's statement, with the  
9           arrest warrant affidavit.

10           The fact that there is something mentioned does  
11           not necessarily indicate that it is relevant. As  
12           Your Honor's well aware on floor one of the  
13           Connecticut codes of evidence, relevant evidence is  
14           any evidence that has a tendency to make the  
15           existence of any fact that is material to the  
16           determination of the proceeding, more probable or  
17           less probable than it would be without the evidence.

18           I'll concede that it is a very low bar.  
19           However, but it's material to the determination of  
20           the proceeding here is whether Mr. Lawrence sent a  
21           written communication with the intent to annoy,  
22           harass, or alarm in a manner that was like to cause  
23           annoyance or alarm.

24           As we've gone over numerous times a content of  
25           the email is truly irrelevant, it is the manner in  
26           which they were sent following multiple pleas for him  
27           to stop emailing her.

LIE

1 MR. LAWRENCE: Multiple, really. Now, now, he's  
2 stretching it. Okay.

3 THE COURT: All right.

4 MR. LAWRENCE: Let me just respond to one thing.

5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I've heard -  
6 - I've heard your argument.

7 MR. LAWRENCE: No, but I have one --

8 THE COURT: I heard the State's argument. And  
9 toward the end of your argument, Mr. Lawrence, you  
10 just told me that your parents live out of state, and  
11 they have plane tickets and they're on their way to  
12 testify.

13 So there's no really need for me signed this  
14 subpoena for them since they're on their way. And if  
15 you want to offer them or call them, you can call  
16 them.

17 But based on what I've heard, and my mind is  
18 open, it's subject to change, but based on what I've  
19 heard from you, you want them to essentially talk  
20 about their exchanges with Anna Campbell and their  
21 knowledge about false accusations regarding you  
22 giving Anna Campbell Hepatitis C.

23 MR. LAWRENCE: The emails, Your Honor, the  
24 emails.

25 THE COURT: And emails that Anna Campbell may  
26 have had with them and those are of questionable  
27 relevance. Like I said, I'm not making up my mind

1 but based on what you said, there's not need for me  
2 to sign subpoena for them, so I'm not going to.

3 Then you want to call them. I'm going to  
4 reserve judgment on whether to let them testify or  
5 whether to let them testify in front of the jury  
6 because they've got to be able to add something of  
7 relevance of something that's material.

8 I may remind you, Mr. Lawrence, Anna Campbell's  
9 not on trial. And this case is not about the content  
10 of the email exchange, okay.

11 That's not what harassment in the second degree  
12 is about and I laid it out before what it's about.  
13 Mr. Tamaccio just laid it out and you -- it's your  
14 responsibility to educate yourself on the -- the  
15 relevant statute involved; the elements of the  
16 offense charged.

17 This is not about the content of those emails.  
18 It's the manner of making the written communications  
19 and whether you intended to harass, annoy, or alarm.  
20 So we're not -- this is not a trial about whether  
21 Anna Campbell was harassing your parents or whether  
22 she falsely accused you of sexually assaulting  
23 somebody, or whether she falsely claim that you gave  
24 her Hepatitis C, that's not what this case is about.

25 MR. LAWRENCE: Well, I do have the right to be  
26 able to reference any email I want.

27 THE COURT: You can cross examine the witness.

all  
part of  
email  
thread

1 MR. LAWRENCE: Exactly.

2 THE COURT: And if you want to impeach her on  
3 something she said or wrote, you can attempt to do  
4 that and I'm going to make a decision on that at the  
5 time. I'm not going to try to do that now. All  
6 right, I think we've covered everything.

7 MR. LAWRENCE: Not -- not everything.

8 ATTY. TAMACCIO: I believe so, yes.

9 MR. LAWRENCE: Not everything. There's one  
10 other, there's one other very serious thing and I  
11 want to bring it up first. But this is the whole --  
12 this is what's going to go down, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: What are you talking about, Mr.  
14 Lawrence?

15 MR. LAWRENCE: I'm talking about there is no  
16 agreement as for the emails that are going to be  
17 submitted to the jury.

18 So the jury is now going to be -- going to have  
19 my exhibits of my emails and -- and I'm going to be  
20 referencing my copy of the emails and Mr. Tamaccio is  
21 going to have his because are out of order.

22 THE COURT: Mr. -- Mr. Lawrence --

23 MR. LAWRENCE: Your Honor --

24 THE COURT: -- we did deal with this. I told  
25 you, if you and the state come to an agreement on  
26 emails that should be admitted, I will admit them by  
27 agreement.

1           If you want an email admitted into evidence, you  
2           lay a foundation, you offer it, if there's no  
3           objection and it's relevant and material, I'm going  
4           to admit it.

5           I don't know which emails you're talking about  
6           or how many of them --

7           MR. LAWRENCE: Your Honor, this is what I'm  
8           trying to say --

9           THE COURT: Would you finish -- stop talking  
10          when I'm talking, sir.

11          MR. LAWRENCE: How can you go about --

12          THE COURT: I'm going to make evidentiary  
13          rulings during the course of the trial. I'm not  
14          going to try to do it ahead of time. So I'm not  
15          going to tell you that you can give the jury any --  
16          every and any email you want to give them. I'm not  
17          going to sit here and say that.

18          So you'll have a chance to offer evidence --  
19          emails into evidence.

20          MR. LAWRENCE: Your Honor, I don't understand  
21          how is an email harassment case, okay, when there's  
22          an email harassment case, okay, and someone write  
23          something in a sworn written statement or says  
24          something, okay, that contradicts -- it's not like  
25          I'm going to you, I can't use Chelsea Ciofolletti,  
26          but I have the right to use emails to verify, okay,  
27          that what she is claiming is false, Your Honor.

1           And I'm not going to railroaded on this. I --  
2           the search and seizure warrant, okay, basically,  
3           outlined emails between July, okay, and September.

4           In the original -- in the original discovery that I  
5           have copies of, that was submitted back in 2019,  
6           okay, there was an email which I'm going to -- going  
7           to question the officer on his discovery which I have  
8           a right to do.

9           THE COURT: I don't know what officer you're  
10          talking about.

11          MR. LAWRENCE: Officer Grasso, the arresting  
12          officer.

13          THE COURT: You know what, as I said whether  
14          we're talking about Anna Campbell, Officer Grasso, or  
15          any other witness that the State calls, you can cross  
16          examine a person.

17          And if you want to attempt to impeach that  
18          witness's credibility, you can make that attempt and  
19          I will make a ruling at the time. But I'm not going  
20          to make some blanket ruling that you can question  
21          about.

22          Any email that person ever wrote or anything  
23          that person ever said. And --

24          MR. LAWRENCE: But that's the --

25          THE COURT: So I'm going to make a decision as  
26          we go along. Okay, I think we're done. Thank you,  
27          very much. We'll see you on Tuesday morning.

1 MR. LAWRENCE: I do -- I do have.

2 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Thank you, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: And I'd ask you to be here by, no  
4 later than 9:15 --

5 MR. LAWRENCE: Your Honor, I have one more  
6 question.

7 THE COURT: -- so that we can -- I'd like to get  
8 started by 9:30 if I can.

9 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, sir.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. LAWRENCE: Your Honor, I have one more  
12 question.

13 THE COURT: What is it? You're not saying  
14 anything. What's the question?

15 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay. Obviously, I am in the  
16 process now of putting my case together. There are  
17 things that I want to share, okay.

18 THE COURT: You're not asking me a question,  
19 you're making statements.

20 MR. LAWRENCE: Right. But what I'm trying to  
21 say is that when I brought this attention to you on  
22 August 11<sup>th</sup>, okay, about the fact that the disk,  
23 okay, that was handed to me was not in order, okay.

24 And now what we have, Your Honor, is Mr.  
25 Tamaccio taking stuff off this and trying to piece  
26 together this nonsense, okay, and claiming that his  
27 is authentic.

1           First of all, under a law, that's not right,  
2           okay. A -- let me tell you something what happened  
3           to me, I was in the --

4           THE COURT: You're not asking me a question.

5           MR. LAWRENCE: I'm saying that these can be  
6           manipulated very easy this way. If we were going by  
7           the law, Your Honor --

8           THE COURT: If you think --

9           MR. LAWRENCE: If we were going by law.

10          THE COURT: -- if you think the state is  
11          offering and probably offering evidence, I email  
12          evidence, you object, I'll hear your objection, I'll  
13          make a ruling.

14          MR. LAWRENCE: Okay, good. And so what I'm  
15          trying to say is that ideally, okay, since the jury  
16          is now going to get two forms of emails, they're  
17          going to be my emails. I'm handing over all the  
18          emails in that time period according to the search  
19          and seizure warrant, all the emails.

20          THE COURT: What is your question?

21          MR. LAWRENCE: My question is that for the sake  
22          of you want to get this thing done in two days or  
23          what have you. I don't see burdening the jury with  
24          conflicts over veracity of email to be -- to be in  
25          (Indiscernible).

26          The prosecution has had, Your Honor.

27          THE COURT: You're not asking a question.

1 MR. LAWRENCE: Well, I'm just -- but I'm getting  
2 facts.

3 THE COURT: I didn't ask you to give me facts.  
4 You said you wanted to ask a question --

5 MR. LAWRENCE: Why is it --

6 THE COURT: I said okay, I'll listen to your  
7 question, and you've been talking for a pretty good  
8 period of time, and you haven't asked me a question.

9 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay, but --

10 THE COURT: What is the question?

11 MR. LAWRENCE: How are we supposed to have a  
12 proper trial if the prosecution is handing over  
13 something that they probably should've have handed  
14 over three years ago.

15 Why are they -- why am I getting (Indiscernible)  
16 with stuff that -- that I then have to approve of the  
17 day before of -- at the eleventh hour of the trial,  
18 it's not fair, okay.

19 THE COURT: I don't know what you're talking  
20 about.

21 MR. LAWRENCE: So we don't -- so he's handing me  
22 emails that if this case was an order, Your Honor --  
23 okay, if the case was an order, this wouldn't been  
24 handed to me back in -- in 2019, okay.

25 What we have going on here is that my emails  
26 Your Honor are on European time, okay. This is the  
27 significant issue I want to talk about, okay.

1 THE COURT: You know what. You're going off  
2 into another area and I said I've already addressed  
3 the email issue and how you offer emails into  
4 evidence, if there's an objection, I'm going to hear  
5 it. If there's no objection, I'm going to admit  
6 them.

7 Same goes for the state. We've already --

8 MR. LAWRENCE: But mine --

9 THE COURT: We've already been over this.

10 MR. LAWRENCE: -- mine are on European time.  
11 And so the times of the emails and the way that the  
12 emails are labeled are significant.

13 THE COURT: You know what, you can bring that  
14 out. If you want to testify to that, you said you're  
15 going to testify, you don't have to, but if you want  
16 to you could talk about that. The difference in  
17 time, I don't think that's -- that's a problem at  
18 tall.

19 MR. LAWRENCE: But that's --

20 THE COURT: Or if you want to ask the -- cross  
21 examine the complainant Ms. Campbell. You want to  
22 ask her about the time differences, ask her -- I  
23 don't -- that's fine.

24 MR. LAWRENCE: No, no, but if you were  
25 presenting a case, all right, and you're giving it to  
26 the jury, okay, and the jury has to cross reference I  
27 say, if you're referring to an email, okay, I'll be

1 referring to emails -- I'm referring to emails, that  
2 way at least they're referring to emails that are  
3 agreed upon.

4 So I'm going to be referring to my emails.  
5 Let's say, if I go back to July and I'm going to say  
6 this email is very significant in July, okay, and it  
7 is, it's very significant, okay, and I then go to  
8 mine and it references a certain time and so that is  
9 the essential emails.

10 I'm saying that if this case Your Honor from the  
11 beginning, if it was an order, these emails would  
12 have been submitted, okay, to the court in a proper  
13 way that is within the law that they could have been  
14 submitted to the jury in agreement because there were  
15 authentic emails (Indiscernible) versus Americo  
16 American Insurance Company and that there would be --

17 There's no question. This disk Your Honor  
18 should have been handed over free of all my other  
19 emails. It should have been in chronological order  
20 just between me and Ms. Campbell.

21 A disk they could then just be printed or handed  
22 to jurors themselves. But no, we have now a  
23 prosecutor. Now, hand thinking --

24 THE COURT: You know what, we went over this the  
25 other day. And I told you it's not up to me to tell  
26 the State's attorney how to prosecute the case, what  
27 order to offer evidence.

1           You know whether to offer evidence in  
2           chronological order reverse chronological order,  
3           date, that's up to the State's attorney. You want to  
4           cross examine on those things; you can do that.

5           You want to make your argument to the jury on  
6           those things, you can do that as long as it's in  
7           evidence. So to the extent you're complaining about  
8           --

9           MR. LAWRENCE: I'm not, I'm just saying --

10          THE COURT: -- Mr. Tamaccio's approach in  
11          presenting his case.

12          MR. LAWRENCE: No, but he said --

13          THE COURT: I have nothing to do with that and I  
14          can't do anything about it. All right.

15          MR. LAWRENCE: He says -- no, he says he wants  
16          --

17          THE COURT: All right. You know what, we're --  
18          we're going down the same road. We're done. We'll  
19          see you on Tuesday morning. Thank you.

20          ATTY. TAMACCIO: Thank you, Your Honor.

21          \*\*\*\*\*

22

23

24

25

26

27

S20NCR190147994S : SUPERIOR COURT  
STATE OF CONNECTICUT : JD OF STAMFORD/NORWALK  
v. : AT STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT  
JAMES LAWRENCE : OCTOBER 1, 2021

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I hereby certify the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription of the audio recording of the above-referenced case, heard in Superior Court, G.A. #1 of Stamford/Norwalk Connecticut, before the Honorable Gary White, Judge, on the 1st day of October 2021.

Dated this 17<sup>th</sup> day of April 2023 in Stamford, Connecticut.

*Tara McCloud*

---

Tara McCloud  
Court Recording Monitor

